

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Manish Singh
DOCKET NO.:	15-06040.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	05-22-139-050

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Manish Singh, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of the Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. in Oak Lawn; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$4,100
IMPR.:	\$22,520
TOTAL:	\$26,620

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,150 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include central air conditioning. The property is located in Wheaton, Milton Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited information on five equity comparables. Three of the comparables are located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property.¹ The comparables were improved with three, one-story dwellings. The dwelling design for the remaining comparables was not disclosed. The dwellings were each built in 1989. The

¹ The appellant submitted incomplete information on the grid analysis regarding the comparables' location, dwelling design and other features, however, the board of review provided this data for three of the appellant's comparables.

dwellings each contain 1,100 square feet of living area. The dwellings have improvement assessments of \$20,610 or \$18.74 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$25,651.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,620. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,520 or \$19.58 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings that were each built in 1989. The dwellings had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings each contain 1,150 square feet of living area. The dwellings have improvement assessments of \$22,520 or \$19.58 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of 11 suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 through #3 due to their dissimilar dwelling design when compared to the subject property. The Board also gave less weight to the remaining two comparables due to their lack of descriptive information about the improvements which prevents a meaningful analysis to determine the similarities of the comparables to the subject property. The Board finds the board of review comparables were similar if not identical to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design, exterior construction and features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$22,520 or \$19.58 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$19.58 is supported by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Acting Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 22, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Manish Singh, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. 5533 West 109th Street, Unit 219 Oak Lawn, IL 60453

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187