

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Napleton Investment Partnership, LP

DOCKET NO.: 15-05902.001-C-2 PARCEL NO.: 09-01-211-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Napleton Investment Partnership, LP, the appellant, by attorney Joon Park, of Napleton Dealership Group in Oakbrook; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$313,680 IMPR.: \$0 TOTAL: \$313,680

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a paved parking lot used for seasonal retail sales and parking for vehicle overflow from the appellant's auto dealership. The subject contains 45,000 square feet of land area at the southwest corner of Ogden Avenue and Oak Street along the south side of Ogden Avenue and along Oak Street. The subject is zoned B-3, General Business District under the Village of Hinsdale zoning ordinance. The subject is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

Appellant's counsel appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board contending assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within 5.8 miles from the subject. The comparables are all vacant lots ranging in size from 55,100 to 246,114 square feet of land area. Appellant's counsel argued the subject is an abandoned lot used occasionally as a parking lot

and has no adjacent business attached to the subject. The unimproved comparables had land assessments ranging from \$85,100 to \$381,630 or from \$1.53 to \$1.55 per square foot of land area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$313,680 or \$6.97 per square foot of land area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight equity comparables. The comparables range in size from 14,970 to 76,162 square feet of land area. All comparables were located on the south side of Ogden Avenue within two blocks of the subject and on the same street as the subject. Seven of the parcels have a business adjacent to the comparable vacant lot. All comparables are zoned B-3, similar to the subject. The comparables had land assessments ranging from \$104,400 to \$531,020 or either \$6.96 or \$6.97 per square foot of land area. The board of review argued the appellant's comparables were zoned M-1, unlike the subject, were inferior in location, located further from the subject than the board of review's comparables and comparable #4 was land locked. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables based on location and zoning. These comparables had land assessments of either \$6.96 or \$6.97 per square foot of land area. The subject's land assessment of \$6.97 per square foot of land area is consistent with the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's land was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	Chairman
Member	Member
Sobot Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 23, 2019

Mans Morion

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Napleton Investment Partnership, LP, by attorney: Ryan Ponton Napleton Dealership Group One Oakbrook Terrace Suite 600 Oakbrook, IL 60181

# **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187