



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Carol & Jack Dreiss
DOCKET NO.: 15-05252.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-20-213-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carol & Jack Dreiss, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$50,710
IMPR.: \$137,580
TOTAL: \$188,290

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one and part two-story dwelling of frame construction with 3,826 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1985. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an in-ground pool and a 546 square foot garage. The property has a 13,674 square foot site and is located in Naperville, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. All were part one and part two story frame¹ dwellings. All of the comparables featured unfinished basements, central air

¹ All comparables submitted by both parties are described as frame dwellings; however, the photographic evidence indicates some may be masonry or frame and masonry construction.

conditioning, fireplaces and garages. They were built in 1985 or 1988. The dwellings range in size from 3,254 to 4,392 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$94,630 to \$126,310 or from \$28.76 to \$29.29 per square foot of living area. The appellants requested the total assessment be reduced to \$161,829.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$188,290. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$137,580 or \$35.96 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. All were part one and part two story frame dwellings built in 1985 or 1986. All comparables have basements, one with finished area. All feature central air conditioning, fireplaces and garages. The dwellings range in size from 3,514 to 3,976 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$127,850 to \$145,160 or from \$36.38 to \$36.53 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and to board of review comparable #2 based on their dissimilar dwelling size and/or finished basement when compared to the subject's unfinished basement. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #1 and #3. These comparables were very similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$29.29 to \$36.51 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35.96 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

May 19, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.