



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: George Schieler
DOCKET NO.: 15-05189.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-24-454-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are George Schieler, the appellant; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,892
IMPR.: \$69,382
TOTAL: \$73,274

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,136 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include a 1,095 square foot basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 449 square foot garage. The property has a 2,464 square foot site and is located in Lake In The Hills, Grafton Township, McHenry County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$73,274. The subject property has a land assessment of \$3,892 and

¹ The parties differ as to whether the subject has a partial brick exterior. The photographic evidence depicts a partial brick exterior.

an improvement assessment of \$69,382 or \$32.48 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal challenging both the land and improvement assessments in Section 2c of the Residential Appeal petition. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.


The Board finds the best evidence of land assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables, as well as the board of review's comparables #1, #4, #5 and #6. These comparables were located in close proximity to the subject and also had the same lot size as the subject of 2,464 square feet of land area. These comparables had land assessments of \$3,892 or \$4,866. The subject's land assessment of \$3,892 is supported by five identically sized land comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's remaining land comparables due to their differences in lot size, when compared to the subject's lot. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's land was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's land assessment is not justified.²

The Board finds the best evidence of improvement assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #4. These comparables were identical to the subject in size and age. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$61,954 or \$70,056 and \$29.00 or \$32.80 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$69,382 or \$32.48 per square foot of living area is supported by the improvement assessments of the best comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the parties' remaining comparables due to their difference in age or plumbing fixtures when compared to the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

² The appellant was requesting an increase in the subject's land assessment to be identical to appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 which were inexplicably higher than 5 identical land comparables in the record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Acting Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 20, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

George Schieler
611 Juniper
Lake in the Hills, IL 60156

COUNTY

McHenry County Board of Review
McHenry County Government Center
2200 N. Seminary Ave.
Woodstock, IL 60098