

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Fewell
DOCKET NO.: 15-05088.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-28-459-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Fewell, the appellant; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,216 **IMPR.:** \$40,850 **TOTAL:** \$45,066

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story townhome of frame and brick exterior construction with 1,218 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property is located in Huntley, Grafton Township, McHenry County.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant did not contest the subject's land assessment. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that were located on the same block or within "¼ block" from the subject property. The comparables were nearly identical to the subject, except for the number of plumbing fixtures and/or number of fireplaces. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$39,667 to \$47,634 or from \$32.57 to \$39.11 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$51,850. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$47,634 or \$39.11 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that were located on the same street as the subject property. Two of these comparables were also submitted by the appellant. The comparables were nearly identical to the subject, except for the number of plumbing fixtures and/or number of fireplaces. The comparables had improvement assessments of \$45,688 and \$47,634 or \$37.51 and \$39.11 per square foot of living area.

The board of review argued that comparable #1 has a similar end unit view. However, all of the other comparables are either interior units, on an interior site block, or closely sited to commercial property.

The appellant submitted rebuttal arguing that all of the comparables are superior to the subject in the number of plumbing fixtures, however, the subject's improvement assessment is equal to that of the highest of the comparables.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds all of the parties' comparables are superior to the subject in their number of plumbing fixtures, however, the subject's improvement assessment is equal to that of the improvement assessments of the highest of the comparables. The Board further finds that the parties' common comparable, located at 10889 Harry, is superior to the subject in number of plumbing fixtures, number of fireplaces, deck size and patio size, however, it has a lower improvement assessment than the subject. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's argument that the comparables' views somehow effect the improvement equity between similar townhomes. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, R	aux Illorios
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Acting Member
soort Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2018
	Stee M Wagner
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

David Fewell 10871 Harry Drive Huntley, IL 60142

# **COUNTY**

McHenry County Board of Review McHenry County Government Center 2200 N. Seminary Ave. Woodstock, IL 60098