



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Vasilios Toliopoulos
DOCKET NO.: 15-04984.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-01-103-018

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vasilios Toliopoulos, the appellant, by attorney Gregory P. Diamantopoulos, of Verros, Lafakis & Berkshire, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$53,750
IMPR.: \$211,930
TOTAL: \$265,680

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco construction with 3,735 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 420 square foot garage. The property has a 7,173 square foot site and is located in Elmhurst, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction. The dwellings were constructed in 2003 or 2005. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 3,352 to 3,750 square feet of living area and have improvement

assessments ranging from \$166,750 to \$187,400 or from \$49.75 to \$51.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment to \$239,520.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$265,680. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$211,930 or \$56.76 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the subject's correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings were constructed from 2006 to 2009. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 3,359 to 4,194 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$188,360 to \$239,140 or from \$53.74 to \$64.10 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables that were very similar to the subject in location, design, age, and features. However, the Board finds the appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparables # 3 and #5 differed significantly from the subject in living area and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and board of review comparables #1, #2, #4 and #6. The Board finds these comparables were more similar to the subject in living area. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$49.97 to \$64.10 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$56.76 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

May 19, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.