

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Stephanie & Aldo Schumann

DOCKET NO.: 15-04839.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 18-20-226-017

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Stephanie & Aldo Schumann, the appellants, by attorney William Petsche, of RothMelei in Crystal Lake; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$12,974 **IMPR.:** \$76,126 **TOTAL:** \$89,100

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and brick construction with 2,765 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2008. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 627 square foot garage. The property has a 12,760 square foot site and is located in Huntley, Grafton Township, McHenry County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal.<sup>1</sup> In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on three equity comparables that were located in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellants' appeal form was marked as if overvaluation based on comparable sales was the basis of the appeal, however, the appellants failed to complete the sales portion of the comparable grid. The appellants' evidence included property data sheets for the comparables that revealed their sale dates. However, two of the sales occurred

the "Talamore" neighborhood like the subject. The comparables had land sizes ranging from 10,935 to 17,782 square feet of land area and land assessments of \$12,974 or \$14,597 or from \$.82 to \$1.19 per square feet of land area. The comparables were improved with similar two-story dwellings that had varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$73,625 to \$75,247 or from \$26.63 to \$27.21 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$89,100. The subject property has a land assessment of \$12,974 or \$1.02 per square foot of land area and an improvement assessment of \$76,126 or \$27.53 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that were located in the "Talamore" neighborhood like the subject. The comparables' land sizes were not disclosed by the board of review. The comparables were improved with similar two-story dwellings that had varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments of \$76,126 or \$27.53 per square foot of living area.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the only evidence of land assessment equity is the appellants' comparables. These comparables had land sizes ranging from 10,935 to 17,782 and land assessments of \$12,974 or \$14,597 or from \$.82 to \$1.19 per square foot of land area. The subject's land assessment of \$12,974 or \$1.02 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the land comparables in this record. The board of review failed to provide the land sizes for analysis.

The Board finds the best evidence of improvement assessment equity is the board of review's comparables. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$76,126 or \$27.53 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$76,126 or \$27.53 per square foot of living area is identical to the most similar improvement comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparables due to their slightly older age when compared to the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

greater than 7 years prior to the January 1, 2015 assessment date. Since the appellants' grid included only assessment data, the Board will analyze the evidence as if assessment inequity was being contested.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, Mai	us Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Acting Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 19, 2017	
	aportol	
-	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Stephanie & Aldo Schumann, by attorney: William Petsche RothMelei 454 West Virginia Street Crystal Lake, IL 60014

## **COUNTY**

McHenry County Board of Review McHenry County Government Center 2200 N. Seminary Ave. Woodstock, IL 60098