



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Marcel Marian  
DOCKET NO.: 15-04457.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-24-101-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marcel Marian, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$41,282  
**IMPR.:** \$223,285  
**TOTAL:** \$264,567

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,379 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a 2,359-square foot unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 1,049-square foot attached garage. The property has a 60,984-square foot site and is located in North Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables that were located from .52 of a mile to 1.0 mile from the subject property. The comparables were two-story dwellings that were built in 2003 or 2005. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$159,830 to \$216,903 or from \$40.61 to \$48.23 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$264,567. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$223,285 or \$50.99 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, one of which was also submitted by the appellant. The comparables were located from .03 to .97 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables were two-story dwellings that were built from 2003 to 2008. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$216,903 to \$330,755 or from \$48.23 to \$74.28 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted rebuttal critiquing the appellant's submission and argued that appellant's comparable #1 was located in a different township than the subject.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five equity comparables for the Board's consideration, one of which was submitted by both parties. The Board finds the best evidence of improvement assessment equity to be the parties' common comparable, as well as the board of review's comparables #1 and #3. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, size, age and features. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$216,903 to \$330,755 or from \$48.23 to \$74.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$223,285 or \$50.99 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to their significantly smaller sizes when compared to the subject. In addition, comparable #1 was located in a different township than the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 20, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marcel Marian, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
18 North County Street  
7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085