



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: William Slovick
DOCKET NO.: 15-03607.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-24-102-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Slovick, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC, in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$29,137
IMPR.: \$69,357
TOTAL: \$98,494

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one and one-half story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction that has 1,814 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1994. The home features an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 484 square foot detached garage. The subject has a 10,890 square foot site. The subject property is located in Avon Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted four comparable sales located from .62 to 2.51 miles from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in land area, design, age, dwelling size and features. The comparables sold from June 2014 to July 2015 for prices ranging from \$240,000 to

\$375,000 or from \$145.44 to \$217.01 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$98,494. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$296,848 or \$163.64 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2015 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.18%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted three comparable sales located from .56 to 2.5 miles from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in land area, design, age, dwelling size and features. The comparables sold from September 2014 to August 2015 for prices ranging from \$310,000 to \$490,000 or from \$211.48 to \$248.40 per square foot of living area including land.

With respect to the appellant's evidence, the board of review argued none of the comparable are located on Third Lake, like the subject and comparables #2 and #3 are older than the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparables #2 through #4 submitted by the appellant due to their older age and distant location when compared to the subject. In addition, comparable #3 is of a dissimilar design when compared to the subject. Similarly, the Board gave less weight to comparable #3 submitted by the board of review due to its dissimilar design and distant location when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining three comparables are more similar when compared to the subject in location¹, land area, design, age, dwelling size and most features. These comparables sold from September 2014 to July 2015 for prices ranging from \$375,000 to \$490,000 or from \$211.48 to \$218.55 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$296,848 or \$163.64 per square foot of living area including land, which falls well below the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. After considering any necessary adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is justified. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

¹ Appellant's comparable #1 is not located on the same lake or in the same neighborhood as the subject, but is located .62 of a mile from the subject.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Acting Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 22, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Slovick, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
13975 W. Polo Trail Drive
#201
Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
18 North County Street
7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085