

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Mike Liacopoulos
DOCKET NO.:	15-03061.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	15-31-103-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mike Liacopoulos, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$33,701
IMPR.:	\$241,271
TOTAL:	\$274,972

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 6,265 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1992.¹ Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 1,044 square foot garage. The property has a 42,689 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$775,000 as of January 1, 2015. The appraisal was prepared by Cindy Gotshall, a certified residential real estate appraiser. In estimating the market value of the subject property the appraiser developed

¹ As an initial matter the parties report differences regarding the age of the subject. The Board finds the best evidence is contained within the board of review's property record card.

the sales comparison approach to value using six comparables located within .81 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 19 to 42 years old. The dwellings had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. Comparable #6 had an in-ground swimming pool. The dwellings range in size from 4,050 to 5,144 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain from 38,640 to 54,599 square feet of land area. Comparables #1 through #4 sold from May 2014 to January 2015 for prices ranging from \$720,000 to \$761,000 or from \$165.33 to \$181.32 per square foot of living area including land. Comparables #5 and #6 were listed for \$749,999 and \$799,000 or \$155.33 and \$168.31 per square foot of living area including land, respectively.² The appraiser made adjustments to each comparable for differences from the subject property to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$665,875 to \$794,513. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$258,308 which would reflect the January 2015 appraisal.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$274,972. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$828,728 or \$132.28 per square foot of living area including land when applying Lake County's 2015 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.18% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(1).

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .66 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of 2.0-story or 2.5-story dwellings that were built from 1988 to 2000. The dwellings had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. Comparables #1, #3 and #4 had in-ground swimming pools. The dwellings range in size from 4,972 to 5,912 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain from 42,806 to 110,738 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March to November of 2015 for prices ranging from \$745,000 to \$965,000 or from \$144.83 to \$194.09 per square foot of living area including land. Board of review sale #4 was the same comparable as the appraisal listing #5, but had a recent sale in November 2015 of \$745,000 or \$144.83 per square foot of living area including land. The board of review submission included property record cards and Multiple Listing Service sheets (MLS) for the subject and its four comparable sales. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant's counsel submitted a rebuttal brief responding to the board of review's submission.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

 $^{^2}$ The board of review presented a sale price for one of the active listings. The appellant's comparable #5 sold in November 2015 for \$745,000 or \$144.83 per square foot of living area, land included.

The record contains an appraisal submitted by the appellant and information on four comparable sales provided by the board of review with one comparable common to both parties. The Board gave the final opinion of value found in the appraisal little weight. Comparables #1 through #4 and #6 are smaller in dwelling size when compared to the subject property. Comparables #5 and #6 were described as active listings. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review comparables #2 and #3 due to their dissimilar dwelling design, superior finished basement area or larger land size when compared to the subject property.

The Board gave most weight to the board of review comparables #1 and #4. These comparables sold proximate in time to the assessment date and were similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design, exterior construction and features. These properties sold in March or November of 2015 for prices of \$745,000 and \$965,000 or \$144.83 and \$163.23 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$828,728 or \$155.33 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls between the best comparables in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds the subject is not overvalued and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Acting Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 22, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mike Liacopoulos, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review 18 North County Street 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085