

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Paul Petrillo
DOCKET NO.:	15-02748.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	09-01-105-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Petrillo, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$24,053
IMPR.:	\$82,903
TOTAL:	\$106,956

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame construction with 3,209 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2007. Features of the dwelling include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 616 square foot garage. The property has a 9,688 square foot site and is located in Volo, Wauconda Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales. These comparables are described as 2-story dwellings of frame construction built between 2006 and 2009. They range in size from 2,937 to 3,674 square feet of living area. They feature unfinished basements, central air conditioning and garages that range in size from 556 to 680 square feet of building area. Three of the comparables have fireplaces. They are located a distance of .10 to .38 of a mile from the subject. These comparables sold between February 2014 and February 2015 for prices ranging

from \$240,000 to \$289,500 or from \$72.67 to \$96.76 per square foot of living area land included. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$91,982 or a market value of approximately \$275,974 or \$86.00 per square foot of living area including land at the statutory level of assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$106,956. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$322,351 or \$100.45 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2015 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.18% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as appellant's comparable #4. They are described as 2-story dwellings of frame construction built from 2006 to 2010. They range in size from 2,731 to 3,133 square feet of living area. The comparables feature unfinished basements, central air conditioning and garages that range in size from 396 to 680 square feet of building area. Three comparables have fireplaces. They are located a distance of .10 to .38 of a mile from the subject. These comparables sold between June 2014 and September 2015 for prices ranging from \$285,000 to \$325,000 or from \$90.97 to \$119.00 per square foot of living area land included.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Both parties submitted eight different comparables for the Board's consideration. All of the comparables submitted by both parties were inferior to the subject based on their unfinished basements as compared to the subject's 1,555 square feet of basement finished area. With this exception, all of the comparables were similar to the subject in location, site size, exterior construction, style, age and features and sold proximate in time to the subject's assessment date of January 1, 2015. These comparable sold for prices ranging from \$240,000 to \$325,000 or from \$72.67 to \$119.00 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$322,351 or \$100.45 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on both a total market value basis as well as a per square foot basis. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Acting Member Member Member Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 18, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.