

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Thomas Hetler
DOCKET NO.: 15-02687.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-09-209-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Hetler, the appellant, by attorney John Hetler, of Dennis W. Hetler & Associates PC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$90,708 **IMPR.:** \$121,349 **TOTAL:** \$212,057

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,512 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1961. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 462 square foot garage. The property has a 22,473 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$490,000 as of January 1, 2015. The appraisal was prepared by Ibi Cole a certified residential real estate appraiser. In estimating the market value of the subject property the appraiser developed the cost approach and sales comparison approach to value.

In the cost approach to value, the appraiser estimated the subject's land value at \$360,000 by reviewing land sales. The appraiser then calculated a replacement cost of \$822,389. The subject was depreciated by \$688,467 for a depreciated improvement value of \$133,921. The land was added back in to estimate a value for the subject property under the cost approach of \$493,921 or \$490,000, rounded.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed the sales of six comparable sales located within 2.79 miles from the subject property. The comparables consist of 1.75 story or two-story dwellings that were built from 1961 to 1988. The dwellings had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 2,170 to 2,579 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain from 12,307 to 46,433 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from October 2014 to July 2015 for prices ranging from \$430,000 to \$575,000 or from \$176.09 to \$222.95 per square foot of living area, including land. The appraiser made adjustments to each comparable for differences from the subject property to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$450,000 to \$582,500. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$163,317 which would reflect a market value of \$490,000 at the statutory level of assessment of \$33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$212,057. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$639,111 or \$254.42 per square foot of living area, when applying Lake County's 2015 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.18% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(1).

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .63 of a mile from the subject property. The dwellings had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables consist of 1.75-story or two-story dwellings that were built from 1957 to 1969. The dwellings range in size from 2,305 to 2,642 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain from 19,574 to 21,666 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March 2014 to January 2015 for prices ranging from \$640,000 to \$775,000 or from \$255.59 to \$334.06 per square foot of living area, including land.

As to the appraisal, the board of review in a memo argued that five of the sales were outside of the subdivision. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains an appraisal submitted by the appellant and information on four comparable sales provided by the board of review. The Board gives little weight to the conclusion of value contained in the appellant's appraisal. The Board gave less weight to the appraisal comparables due to their distant location, newer age, difference in dwelling design and/or much larger land size when compared to the subject property. The Board also gave no weight to the estimate of value under the cost approach prepared by the appraiser. In Chrysler Corporation v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (1979), the court held that significant relevance should not be placed on the cost approach or income approach especially when there is other credible market value data available. Based on these factors the Board finds the appraisal was not credible in establishing the market value for the subject property.

The Board gave less weight to the board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to their difference in dwelling design when compared to the subject property. The Board gave most weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 as these comparables sold proximate in time to the assessment date and were similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling design, size, exterior construction and several features. These properties sold in March and November 2014 for prices of \$640,000 and \$700,000 or \$255.59 and \$264.95 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$639,111 or \$254.42 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls below the market value of the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds the subject is not overvalued and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

| , Ma | and Illorios |
|----------------|---------------|
| | Chairman |
| 21. Fen | a R |
| Member | Acting Member |
| Robert Stoffen | Dan De Kinin |
| Member | Member |
| | |
| DISSENTING: | |
| | |

$\underline{\texttt{CERTIFICATION}}$

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | August 18, 2017 |
|-------|--|
| | Alportol |
| | Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board |

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.