



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David Paget  
DOCKET NO.: 15-01865.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-34-206-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Paget, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Strauss & Lavin, PC, in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 96,302  
**IMPR.:** \$227,985  
**TOTAL:** \$324,287

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction that has 5,018 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1998. The home features an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an 858 square foot garage. The subject has a 40,193 square foot site. The subject property is located in Moraine Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal of the subject property. The appraiser developed the cost and sales comparison approaches to value in arriving at an opinion of value of \$825,000 as of January 1, 2015. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject property of \$324,287. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$977,357 or \$194.77 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2015 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.18%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted four comparable sales located within .78 of a mile from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables sold from September 2013 to June 2015 for prices ranging from \$1,054,500 to \$1,250,000 or from \$216.31 to \$243.05 per square foot of living area including land.

With respect to the appellant's evidence, the board of review argued the comparables are from 24% to 54% smaller in dwelling size than the subject and three comparables have smaller basements than the subject. The board of review argued the appraiser applied adjustments for differences in dwelling size by only \$25.00 per square foot of living area whereas typical market adjustments are \$75.00 to \$100.00 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property has a market value of \$1,000,000 as of January 1, 2015. The Board gave little weight to the appraisal report. Under the cost approach, the appraiser did not utilize comparable land sales to estimate the subject's site value, but merely used a site value of \$90,000 "per County Assessor." However, the subject's land assessment reflects an estimated market value of approximately \$288,935. With regard to the sales comparison approach to value, all the comparables are considerably smaller in dwelling size and have considerably smaller land sites when compared to the subject. The adjustments amounts applied for dwelling size difference are suspect and not supported by any objective market evidence. All these factors severely undermine the credibility of the appraisal report.

The board of review submitted four comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparable #4 due to its 2013 sale date, which is dated and less indicative of market value as of the subject's January 1, 2015 assessment date. The Board finds comparable sales #1 through #3 are more similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and most features, but has a smaller site when compared to the subject. They sold from May 2014 to June 2015 for prices ranging from \$1,054,500 to \$1,250,000 or from \$216.31 to \$243.05 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$977,357 or \$194.77 per square foot of living area including land, which is less than the most similar comparable sales in the record. After considering any logical adjustments to the

comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

May 19, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.