

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: VIP Investments of Peoria, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 15-01607.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-32-134-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are VIP Investments of Peoria, LLC, the appellant; and the Peoria County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Peoria** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,990 **IMPR.:** \$4,010 **TOTAL:** \$8,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Peoria County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction that has 808 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1950. The property features a 240 square foot detached garage. The subject has a .18-acre site. The subject property is located in City of Peoria Township, Peoria County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information pertaining to the sale of the subject property. The evidence shows subject property sold in March 2015 for \$24,000. The appellant completed Section IV of the residential appeal petition disclosing the parties to the transaction were not family or related corporations and the property was advertised for sale in the open market with a Realtor through the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) for approximately 116 days. The appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement

associated with the sale of the subject property. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,800. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$41,491 or \$51.35 per square foot of living area including land area when applying Peoria County's 2015 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.26%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted three comparable sales located in the same "neighborhood number" as the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of unknown exterior construction that were built in 1940 or 1949. Two comparables have unfinished basements and one comparable does not have a basement. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage that contain from 280 to 480 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 672 to 904 square feet of living area, but their site sizes were not disclosed. The comparables sold from May 2014 to June 2015 for prices ranging from \$42,000 to \$74,500 or from \$62.50 to \$95.51 per square foot of living area including land.

The board of review did not address nor challenge the subject's sale price. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation as a basis of the appeal. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value contained in this record is the sale of the subject property in March 2015 for \$24,000. The Board finds the subject's sale meets the fundamental elements of an arm's-length transaction. The buyer and seller were not related and the subject property was exposed to the open market. The Board finds there is no direct evidence the parties were under duress or compelled to buy or sell. The Illinois Supreme Court has defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of two parties dealing at arm's-length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but is practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$41,491, which is greater than the subject's recent arm's-length sale price of \$24,000. The Board finds the board of review did not address, refute or otherwise challenge the arm's-length nature of the subject's sale.

The Board gave less weight to the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. Comparables #2 and #3 have superior unfinished basements when compared to the subject. All

the comparables have central air conditioning and a garage, superior to the subject. The site sizes and exterior construction of the comparables was not disclosed, which further detracts from the weight of the evidence. Finally, the Board finds the comparable sales do not overcome the subject's arm's-length sale price.

Based on this analysis, the Board finds the appellant has demonstrated the subject property was overvalued by a preponderance of the evidence and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted commensurate with the appellant's request.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Mai	no Albrica
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Acting Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# <u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 18, 2017	
	Aportol	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.