

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: American Homes 4 Rent

DOCKET NO.: 15-01400.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-11-180-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are American Homes 4 Rent, the appellant, by Michael R. Davies, of the Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. in Oak Lawn, and the Grundy County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Grundy** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,164 **IMPR.:** \$54,836 **TOTAL:** \$70,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a "Five Day Notice" of the Grundy County Board of Review. The appellant filed this appeal within 30 days of the five day notice which confers jurisdiction pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal as set forth in the September 2, 2016 ruling in this matter.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story single-family dwelling of brick and vinyl siding exterior construction with 3,036 square feet of living area.<sup>2</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A ruling issued on September 2, 2016 concerning a dismissal request by the board of review. The Property Tax Appeal Board denied the dismissal request and that ruling is incorporated herein by reference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appellant reported a dwelling size of 2,926 square feet, but provided no data to support the figure. The board of review submitted a copy of the subject's property record card with a schematic drawing to support the stated dwelling size of 3,036 square feet of living area. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds the board of review submitted the best evidence of dwelling size in the record.

702 square foot garage. The property has a .24-acre site and is located in Minooka, Aux Sable Township, Grundy County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the improvement assessment; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this improvement inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparables. Based on underlying data sheets, the comparables consist of a bi-level, a two-story and a part two-story and part one-story dwelling. As reported in the Section V grid analysis, the dwellings were built between 1997 and 2002 and range in size from 2,424 to 2,762 square feet of living area with 1.5 or 2.5 bathrooms in each dwelling. The appellant provided no data on foundations/basements, central air conditioning and/or fireplace amenities. Each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 480 to 671 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$42,869 to \$49,710 or of \$17.69 or \$18.00 per square foot of living area.

Based on this data, the appellant requested an improvement assessment of \$52,083 or \$17.16 per square foot of living area based on a dwelling size of 3,036 square feet of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$54,836 or \$18.06 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a letter through its clerk asserting in part that the subject is a two-story dwelling with "a full basement and additional living space over the garage." The appellant's comparables were similar to the subject, but were smaller. As part of the letter, appellant's comparables purportedly were set forth, but only appellant's comparable #1 matched the data provided although the stated dwelling size differed. The property record card reveals that appellant's comparable #1 contains 2,774 square feet of living area, has a full basement, central air conditioning and a fireplace.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted very limited information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject which were summarized in the letter only by design, dwelling size and improvement assessment.<sup>4</sup> Board of review comparable #3 was the same property as appellant's comparable #1. Property record cards for each board of review comparable were attached and descriptions of these properties set forth in this decision were ascertained from these property record cards. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick and vinyl siding exterior construction. The homes were built in 2001 or 2003 and range in size from 2,774 to 3,051 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 563 to 702 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$49,710 to \$77,451 or from \$17.92 to \$26.25 per square foot of living area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Three property record cards were attached to the submission purportedly reflecting the appellant's comparable properties; appellant's comparable #2 was presented by the board of review as "appellant's comparable #3."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The board of review failed to complete page 2 of the Board of Review Notes on Appeal (the grid) with the comparable properties.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one common property presented by both parties. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #3 due to differences in age, size and/or the lack of detailed characteristics necessary for an analysis of comparability.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 along with the board of review comparables, where appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #3 are the same property; the Board, however, has accepted the dwelling size and descriptive details of this common property that were provided by the board of review as supported by a copy of the property record card. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$49,710 to \$77,451 or from \$17.92 to \$26.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$54,836 or \$18.06 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record and is particularly supported by board of review comparable #2 that is similar in design, size and features with a slightly higher per-square-foot improvement assessment than the subject, even though it is one year older than the subject dwelling. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorios	
	Chairman
21. Fe	C R
Member	Acting Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	e: December 19, 2017	
	alportal	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

American Homes 4 Rent, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. 5533 West 109th Street, Unit 219 Oak Lawn, IL 60453

## **COUNTY**

Grundy County Board of Review Grundy County Courthouse 111 East Washington Street Morris, IL 60450