

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: William Scofield DOCKET NO.: 15-01386.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 12-35-101-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Scofield, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law, in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,713 **IMPR.:** \$64,613 **TOTAL:** \$78,326

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,904 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 420 square foot attached garage. The property has a 10,115 square foot site and is located in North Aurora, Batavia Township, Kane County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal and submitted a Settlement Statement and a PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration disclosing the subject property was purchased on June 2, 2015 for a price of \$235,000 or \$80.92 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject was purchased from an individual in a short sale, commissions were paid to two realtors and the sale was not between family or related corporations. The appellant

disclosed that the property was advertised through the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) and submitted an MLS Listing Sheet indicating the subject was on the market 89 days. The appellant also submitted an MLS Listing and Property History Report indicating the subject was listed on October 17, 2014 for \$319,400, underwent three price reductions, was contracted January 13, 2015, and closed June 2, 2015 for \$235,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$113,053. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$339,397 or \$116.87 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2015 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.31% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. They are described as 2-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction built in 1998 or 2003. They range in size from 2,067 to 2,752 square feet of living area and feature basements, fireplaces and attached garages that range in size from 440 to 620 square feet of building area. No information was given regarding basement finish or central air conditioning. These comparables sold between May 2012 and February 2014 for prices ranging from \$240,000 to \$331,500 or from \$116.11 to \$121.16 per square foot of living area land included.

In rebuttal, the appellant's counsel claims the board of review does not dispute the recent sale of the subject, nor does it provide any evidence that the recent sale was not valid.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

Initially, the Board takes judicial notice of Public Act 96-1083 which amended the Property Tax Code adding sections 1-23 and 16-183 (35 ILCS 200/1-23 & 16-183), effective July 16, 2010.

Section 1-23 of the Property Tax Code provides:

Compulsory sale. "Compulsory sale" means (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "**short sale**" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete. [Emphasis added.]

Section 16-183 provides:

Compulsory sales. The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

The Board finds the effective date of these statutes is applicable to the assessment date at issue, January 1, 2015.

The appellant provided evidence that the subject property sold on June 2, 2015 for a price of \$235,000 or \$80.92 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal and submitted a Settlement Statement, a PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, and an MLS Listing Sheet and Listing and Property History Report disclosing that the property was purchased from an individual in a short sale, the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a realtor and the property had been advertised through MLS. The subject's initial asking price was \$314,900 on October 17, 2014 and the subject was on the market 89 days with three price reductions before entering into contract on January 13, 2015 for a sale price of \$235,000. The subject was under contract 140 days prior to closing on June 2, 2015. After considering the "short sale" circumstances of the subject's sale, the Board finds the best evidence of market value is the subject's sale in June 2015 for \$235,000. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment and that the board of review did not present any substantive evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The Board gave less weight to the board of review comparable sales based on dated sales and/or smaller dwelling size as compared to the subject. These sales do not overcome the subject's arm's-length sale price. Therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Kai	vo Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fe-	a R
Member	Acting Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 23, 2017
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.