

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: American Homes 4 Rent

DOCKET NO.: 15-01100.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-14-129-058

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are American Homes 4 Rent, the appellant, by Michael R. Davies, of the Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. in Oak Lawn, and the Grundy County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Grundy** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,686 **IMPR.:** \$26,930 **TOTAL:** \$31,616

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a "Five Day Notice" of the Grundy County Board of Review. The appellant filed this appeal within 30 days of the five day notice which confers jurisdiction pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal as set forth in the September 2, 2016 ruling in this matter.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story duplex dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 1,446 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, two full bathrooms, a half bathroom and an attached 220 square foot garage. The property has a .10-acre site and is located in Minooka, Aux Sable Township, Grundy County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the improvement assessment; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of

¹ A ruling issued on September 2, 2016 concerning a dismissal request by the board of review. The Property Tax Appeal Board denied the dismissal request and that ruling is incorporated herein by reference.

this improvement inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparables. Based on underlying data sheets, the comparables consist of two-story duplex "Drake" or "Camden" dwellings. As reported in the Section V grid analysis, the homes were built in 2004 and contain either 1,446 or 1,700 square feet of living area with 1.5 bathrooms in each dwelling. The appellant provided no data on foundations/basements, central air conditioning and/or fireplace amenities. Each comparable has a 220 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,468 to \$29,255 or from \$17.21 to \$17.68 per square foot of living area.

Based on this data, the appellant requested an improvement assessment of \$25,276 or \$17.48 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$31,616. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$26,930 or \$18.62 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a letter through its clerk asserting in part that the subject is a Camden model and two of the appellant's comparables were the same model; one comparable was a different model. As part of the letter, appellant's comparables #1 and #3 do not correspond to the parcel numbers in the appellant's evidence.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted very limited information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject which were summarized in the letter only by dwelling size and improvement assessment.³ Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as appellant's comparable #2. Property record cards for each comparable were attached and descriptions of these properties set forth in this decision were ascertained from these property record cards. The comparables consist of two-story duplex dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction. The homes were built in 2004 or 2005 and each contains 1,446 square feet of living area with either a 1.5 bathroom or a 2.5 bathroom. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a 220 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$25,439 to \$26,930 or from \$17.59 to \$18.62 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the

² Three property record cards were attached to the submission purportedly reflecting the appellant's comparable properties, but the addresses and parcel numbers do not match the appellant's evidence submitted before the Board for two of the parcels.

³ The board of review failed to complete page 2 of the Board of Review Notes on Appeal (the grid) with the comparable properties supporting the assessment.

assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one common property presented by both parties. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #1 which are is larger than subject dwelling and also lacks relevant information as to foundation and/or features for a sufficient comparative analysis of the data when compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #3 along with the board of review comparables, where one property is the same as appellant's comparable #2. Assuming the similarity of appellant's comparable #3 to the subject and the remaining comparables, these comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$25,439 to \$26,930 or from \$17.59 to \$18.62 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$26,930 or \$18.62 per square foot of living area falls at the high end of the range established by the best comparables in this record, but appears to be justified by the greater number of bathrooms in the subject and in board of review comparable #3. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, Mai	us Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Acting Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 19, 2017	
	alportal	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

American Homes 4 Rent, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. 5533 West 109th Street, Unit 219 Oak Lawn, IL 60453

COUNTY

Grundy County Board of Review Grundy County Courthouse 111 East Washington Street Morris, IL 60450