



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: SRP SUB, LLC
DOCKET NO.: 15-00355.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-02-33-407-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are SRP SUB, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC, in Chicago, and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will County Board of Review** is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$11,400
IMPR.: \$23,800
TOTAL: \$35,200

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 912 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1961. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation and a 288 square foot garage. The property is located in Romeoville, DuPage Township, Will County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition disclosing the subject property was purchased on January 28, 2014 for a price of \$75,500. The appellant reported that the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold by a Realtor with ReMax and the property was purchased from Federal National Mortgage Association and was advertised with the Multiple Listing Service. The appellant failed in Section IV to report the period of time the property was offered on the open market prior to its sale. A copy of the Settlement Statement

reiterated the purchase price and closing date and also depicted the distribution of brokers' fees to two entities. A copy of the real estate contract and the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration were also provided. The transfer declaration indicates that the property was advertised for sale and transferred by special warranty deed as a bank REO where the seller/buyer is a financial institution or government agency. A listing printout was provided indicating the property was listed on August 13, 2013 with an asking price of \$87,500 and the property was "off market" as of January 14, 2014 prior to the closing.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,200. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$105,865 or \$116.08 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2015 three year average median level of assessment for Will County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum along with additional data gathered by the township assessor. The assessor contends that the subject's assessment was given a "one year reduction to the invalid sale price in 2014" and the subject was "raised back to a full assessment to be equitable" and then received a subdivision factor of 1.10 for 2015. As to the sale of the subject, the assessor noted the sale was "invalid – Bank REO" with citation to Exhibit A, a copy of the PTAX-203 that was also presented by the appellant.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on four comparable sales located in the subject's neighborhood. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings that were built between 1959 and 1961. The homes contain either 906 or 912 square feet of living area with concrete slab foundations. Each of the comparables also have garages of 288 square feet of building area. The comparables sold between April 2012 and July 2015 for prices ranging from \$114,900 to \$129,900 or from \$125.99 to \$143.38 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant submitted data concerning the January 2014 sale of the subject for \$75,500 and the board of review submitted four comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the sale of the subject and

to board of review comparable sales #1 and #2 as all three sales occurred more distant and remote in time to the assessment date of January 1, 2015 and thus are less likely to be indicative of the subject's estimated market value as of the lien date at issue.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be comparable sales #3 and #4 submitted by the board of review. These comparables were nearly identical to the subject in age, style, construction and features. These properties also sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue having sold in December 2014 and July 2015 for prices of \$129,000 and \$129,900 or for \$142.38 and \$143.38 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$105,865 or \$116.08 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the best comparable sales in this record. Therefore, based on this record, the Board finds the appellant failed to establish that the subject is overvalued based on its assessment and thus, the Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member

Acting Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 18, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.