

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT: | John Jackson |
|--------------|--------------------|
| DOCKET NO .: | 14-34930.001-R-1 |
| PARCEL NO .: | 20-33-208-071-0000 |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Jackson, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND: | \$989 |
|--------|---------|
| IMPR.: | \$411 |
| TOTAL: | \$1,400 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board pursuant to Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story townhome of masonry exterior construction with 1,240 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 51 years old. Features of the townhome include a full finished basement. The property has a 1,649-square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant disclosed the subject sold February 3, 2012 for a price of \$15,000 and had been advertised for sale through the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) as set forth in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition. To document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the MLS sheet which disclosed the subject had been advertised for 109 days.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant also submitted four comparable sales. Two of the comparables are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story townhomes that were built in 1966 or 1968. The dwellings had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,240 to 1,280 square feet of living area. The comparables sold from May 2013 to September 2014 for prices ranging from \$4,000 to \$25,000 or from \$3.23 to \$20.03 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$1,180.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,658.¹ The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$86,580 or \$69.82 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales with different neighborhood codes than the subject property. The comparables consist of one, three-story townhome and three, two-story townhomes that range in age from nine to thirteen years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,244 to 2,264 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that range in size from 995 to 2,188 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from August to November of 2016 for prices ranging from \$215,000 to \$285,000 or from \$102.92 to \$172.83 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant argued that the board of review's comparables should be given no weight because of their remote 2016 sale and newer age. Counsel provided a map as evidence which shows the location of the appellant's and the board of review's comparable sales.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains the subject's sale and eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the subject's sale due to its February 2012 sale date, which is not proximate in time for the January 1, 2014 assessment date at issue. The Board also gave little weight to the appellant's comparable sales #3 and #4 due to their distant location when compared to the subject property. Furthermore, the Board gave little weight to the board of review's

¹ It appears the board of review used the incorrect assessed value on the Board of Review-Notes on Appeal.

comparable sales due to their 2016 sale dates, which are not proximate in time for the January 1, 2014 assessment date at issue.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the appellant's comparable sales #1 and #2. These properties sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2014 assessment date at issue and were similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and features. The comparables sold for prices of \$4,000 and \$14,000 or \$3.23 and \$11.29 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's total assessment reflects a market value of \$86,580 or \$69.82 per square foot of living area including land, which falls above the best comparables established in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 16, 2018

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Jackson, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602