



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Chandra Sekhar  
DOCKET NO.: 14-33406.001-R-1 through 14-33406.003-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chandra Sekhar, the appellant(s), by attorney Arnold G. Siegel, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
14-33406.001-R-1	17-22-110-114-1122	9,091	46,009	\$55,100
14-33406.002-R-1	17-22-110-114-1322	284	1,752	\$2,036
14-33406.003-R-1	17-22-110-114-1384	341	2,103	\$2,444

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of one residential and two parking units located in a 170 unit condominium building. The building was constructed in 2005. The property has a 107,057 square foot site and is located in South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted a PTAX-215 form and an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$600,000 as of January 1, 2012. The appellant also submitted an appraiser's letter that states the subject's market value is substantially the same on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013. The appellant requested an assessment of 9.21% of the appraised value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$69,617. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$701,078, including land, when applying the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 9.93% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a condominium sales analysis. The board also stated the appellant's appeal should be dismissed as the appellant's appraisal as the appraisal has a valuation date, "well before January 1 of the instant assessment year."

In written rebuttal, the appellant stated that the appraisal's valuation date is within the triennial period for South Chicago Township.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$600,000 as of the assessment date at issue. The Board gives no weight to the board of review's position that the appellant's appraisal is outside the instant triennial assessment period. The Board notes the triennial assessment period for South Chicago Township is 2012, 2013, and 2014. The appellant's appraisal has a valuation date of January 1, 2012. In addition, the appellant submitted an appraiser's letter that indicated the subject's market value on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013 was substantially the same. Since the market value has been established the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 9.93% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.