



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ba Phan  
DOCKET NO.: 14-33392.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 10-15-200-040-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ba Phan, the appellant(s), by attorney Arnold G. Siegel, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,381  
**IMPR.:** \$45,765  
**TOTAL:** \$50,146

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 4,004 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2008 and is located in Skokie, Niles Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-08 per the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$505,000 as of January 1, 2013. Appellant requested that the Board apply the 2014 three-year, median level of assessment for class 2 properties as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In addition, the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement, real estate contract, and deed confirming the sale of the subject on December 29, 2011 for \$505,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$56,873. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$572,739 or \$143.04 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the 2014 three-year, median level of assessment for class 2 properties as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted four sale comparables.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney distinguished the board of review's evidence based on sale date and amenities. The appellant's attorney also states the board of review's evidence supports a uniformity reduction.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in December 2011 for a price of \$505,000 which is also supported by the appraisal. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction and in support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement, contract and deed. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$250,000 as of January 1, 2014. Since market value has been determined, the 2014 three-year, median level of assessment for class 2 properties as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

April 21, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the

session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.