



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Rogelio Llamedo  
DOCKET NO.: 14-32440.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-26-425-034-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rogelio Llamedo, the appellant(s), by attorney Arnold G. Siegel, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 4,657  
**IMPR.:** \$ 34,070  
**TOTAL:** \$ 38,727

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a two-story mixed-use building of masonry construction. Improvement #1 is 106 years old. Features of Improvement #1 include a full finished basement. Improvement #2 is a two-story mixed-use building of masonry construction. Improvement #2 is 89 years old. Features of Improvement #2 include a partial unfinished basement. The property has a 3,881 square foot site, and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 and Improvement #2 are both classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$390,000

as of January 1, 2012. The appraisal states that one of the residential units within the subject is owner occupied. The appellant also submitted a letter from the appraiser stating that the subject's market value would be "substantially the same" as of January 1, 2013. The appellant requested that the applicable three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property be applied to the appraisal's estimate of market value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$67,034. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$675,065 when applying the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 9.93% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables for Improvement #1, and four equity comparables for Improvement #2.

In rebuttal, the appellant reaffirmed the evidence previously submitted, argued that the board of review's comparables are not similar to the subject for various reasons, and waived the original request for an oral hearing.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant, in conjunction with the letter from the appraiser. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$390,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 9.93% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 24, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.