



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Raed Samhan
DOCKET NO.: 14-32421.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-02-332-038-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Raed Samhan, the appellant(s), by attorney Ibrahim Fattah, of Law Street, LLC in Skokie; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,000
IMPR.: \$6,500
TOTAL: \$9,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story, mixed-use building of masonry construction with 3,676 square feet of building area. The dwelling was constructed in 1934 and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-12 per the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance.

The appellant argued that the fair market value of the subject property was not accurately reflected in its assessed value as the basis of this appeal. In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement confirming that the subject sold on November 6, 2014 for \$95,000. The appellant's pleadings regarding Section IV- Recent Sale Data confirmed the closing date, sale price, that the parties to the transaction were not related, mortgage was not assumed, and that it was not a foreclosure sale. In further support of the market value argument, the appellant also submitted three sale comparables which sold from May 2013 to November 2014 for \$27,000 to \$80,000 or \$6.77 to \$33.33 per square foot of building area,

including land. In support of the comparables, the MLS sheets were submitted for the two of the sale comparables.

The appellant also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$22,035. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$220,350 or \$59.54 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 level of assessment for class 2-12 properties of 10% as determined by the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$19,035 or \$5.18 per square foot of living area

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted four equity comparables and sales data for comparable #4.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in November 2014 for \$95,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of arm's length transaction and in support of the transaction, copies of the settlement statement were submitted. Lastly, the board of review failed to provide any substantial evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction.

Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$95,00 as of January 1, 2014. Since market value has been determined, the level of assessments for class 2-12, residential property of 10% shall apply as determined by the Cook County Classification Ordinance shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

April 21, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the

session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.