



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sajjad Hussain
DOCKET NO.: 14-29587.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-20-408-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sajjad Hussain, the appellant(s), by attorney Nancy Pina; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$644
IMPR.: \$32,075
TOTAL: \$32,719

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a six year-old, two-story dwelling of frame construction containing 3,096 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a two-car garage. The property has an 8,589 square foot site located in Hanover Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased from Melrose Holdings 10, LLC, on December 16, 2013 for \$271,000. The subject's sale price reflects a market value of \$87.53 per square foot of living area including land. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service listing disclosing the subject was sold as "REO/Lender Owned" property. The appellant included information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was not sold as a transfer between related parties, was

advertised and sold through a realtor in two days, and was sold in settlement of a foreclosure. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price when using the 2014 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,719. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$327,190, or \$105.68 per square foot of living area, when using the 2014 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparable sales that sold from February 2012 through December 2013 for prices ranging from \$120.67 to \$131.10 per square foot of living area including land.

At hearing, the appellant argued that the board of review's comparables were not similar to the subject. Counsel for the appellant conceded that the subject was sold from a foreclosure. Appellant's counsel offered the Board's decision in docket #15-01358.001-R-1 into evidence. Counsel argued that the subject in that case was a foreclosure property and that the Board reduced the assessment. The Board observed that the decision was in the public domain and took judicial notice of it. The Board admitted the copy of the decision into evidence as Appellant's Exhibit #1.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has not met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject on December 16, 2013 for \$271,000 is a "compulsory sale." The appellant's evidence disclosed that the subject was purchased from a foreclosure; appellant's counsel confirmed that at hearing. A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

- (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and
- (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, the Illinois General Assembly recently provided very clear guidance for the Board regarding compulsory sales. Section 16-183 of the Illinois Property Tax Code states as follows:

The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of the comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

35 ILCS 200/16-183. Therefore, the Board is statutorily required to consider compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the parties to revise and/or correct the subject's assessment.

In determining the fair cash value of the subject property, the Board may consider the evidence presented by the parties. The appellant did not submit comparables or other evidence to establish that the sale of the subject was for fair cash value. The board of review submitted sale comparables that contained property characteristics similar to the subject's that sold from February 2012 through December 2013 for prices ranging from \$120.67 to \$131.10 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$105.68 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. The subject's sale price of \$271,000, or \$87.53 per square foot of living area, including land is below the range established by the market data.

The appellant argued that the Board's decision in docket #15-01358.001-R-1 supports an assessment reduction in the instant appeal. In that decision, the Board found that the subject had undergone a series of price increases and decreases with various realtors. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review's sale comparables than to the subject's sale. The decision also discloses that the subject was sold more recently than the board of review's sale comparables. Here, the Board finds that the board of review's sale comparables are more recent than the sale of the subject and that there is no evidence that the subject experienced the same marketing difficulties as the property in the prior decision.

In determining the fair cash value of the subject property, the Board finds that the appellant did not submit sufficient evidence to show the subject was overvalued. The Board considered all relevant factors and accords the board of review's sale comparables more weight. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has not met its burden by a preponderance of the evidence and that the subject does not warrant a reduction based upon the market data submitted into evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Acting Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 22, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sajjad Hussain, by attorney:
Nancy Pina-Campos
Attorney at Law
5000 W. Cermak Rd
Suite 2A
Cicero, IL 60804

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602