

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Daniel Andersen
DOCKET NO.: 14-29488.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-02-115-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Daniel Andersen, the appellant, by John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis, in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,050 **IMPR.:** \$16,913 **TOTAL:** \$20,963

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,657 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property has a 10,800 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables reported to be improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction. The dwellings are from 53 to 67 years old and contain from 1,441 to 1,531 square feet of living area. Two comparables have a basement, one comparable has central air conditioning and two comparables have a fireplace. Copies of photographs depict two

comparables as having garages. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9,222 to \$11,894 or from \$6.40 to \$7.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$12,345 or \$7.45 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$20,963. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$16,913 or \$10.21 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables which are located within the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings are from 29 to 36 years old and range in size from 1,612 to 1,789 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement with two comparables having a finished area, three comparables have central air conditioning, three comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,231 to \$21,578 or from \$11.18 to \$13.14 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity in exterior construction, dwelling size, age and features when compared to the subject property. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9,222 to \$21,578 or from \$6.40 to \$13.14 square feet of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$16,913 or \$10.21 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range of the comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables when compared to the subject, the board finds that the subject's assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorios	
	Chairman
21. Fe	C R
Member	Acting Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	e: December 19, 2017	
	alportal	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Daniel Andersen, by attorney: John S. Xydakis Law Offices of John S. Xydakis 30 North Michigan Avenue Suite 402 Chicago, IL 60602

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602