

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Cesar Guiterrez
DOCKET NO.: 14-29435.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 30-31-107-039-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Cesar Guiterrez, the appellant, by John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,760 **IMPR.:** \$6,932 **TOTAL:** \$8,692

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,240 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 71 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and central air conditioning. The property has a 5,418 square foot site and is located in Lansing, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables. The comparables were improved with one, one-story dwelling and two, 1.5-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings are from 61 to 111 years old. One comparable has a partial basement and central air conditioning.

Photographs of the comparables indicate comparable #2 has a two-car attached garage. The dwellings range in size from 1,286 to 1,512 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$1,698 to \$4,222 or from \$1.24 to \$3.28 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$1,662 or \$1.34 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,692. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$6,932 or \$5.59 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with three, 1.5-story dwellings and one, one-story dwelling of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,111 to 1,349 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 59 to 71 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with three comparables having finished areas, three comparables have central air conditioning and each comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$7,253 to \$8,501 or from \$6.30 to \$6.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its considerably older age when compared to the subject's age of 71 years old. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and the board of review's comparable #4 based on their dissimilar one-story design and/or lack of a basement when compared to the subject's 1.5 story design and full unfinished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review' comparables #1, #2 and #3. These comparables were similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age, dwelling size and features although each comparable has a two-car garage which is not a feature of the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$7,253 to \$8,501 or from \$6.30 to \$6.84 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$6,932 or \$5.59 per square foot of living area is below the most similar comparables contained in this record which appears justified given the subject's lack of a garage. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, M	aux Merios
	Chairman
21. Fe-	a R
Member	Acting Member
Sobert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2018
	Stee M Wagner
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Cesar Guiterrez, by attorney: John S. Xydakis Law Offices of John S. Xydakis 30 North Michigan Avenue Suite 402 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602