

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Aditya Ramani
DOCKET NO.: 14-28297.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-34-411-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aditya Ramani, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,500 **IMPR.:** \$16,500 **TOTAL:** \$21,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a one-story brick residential building containing 2,360 square feet of living area. Features include a 3-car garage. The subject is situated on a 4,500 square foot site in Jefferson Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. In support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted evidence depicting the subject's purchase on April 30, 2013 for \$210,000. In support of the subject's purchase, the appellant substantially completed Section IV of the appeal petition and submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement recording the subject's purchase. The evidence depicts the parties involved in the subject's sale were not related and the subject was sold contract for deed from the owner. The appellant also submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the Cook County Board of Review establishing a total assessment for the subject of \$22,082, which reflects a market value of approximately \$220,820 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 2-11 property of 10%. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the subject's purchase.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" or any evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

Docket No: 14-28297.001-R-1

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3<sup>rd</sup> Dist. 2002). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of market value in the record is the subject's purchase in April 2013 for \$210,000. The Board finds based on the evidence submitted the subject's sale contained most of the elements of an arms-length transaction, which was not refuted by the board of review. The Board finds the subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than the subject's purchase price presented by the appellant. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or refute the appellant's argument as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$210,000 as of January 1, 2014. Since market value has been determined the 10% level of assessment for class 2-11 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.50(c)(3).

Docket No: 14-28297.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	May 20, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 14-28297.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.