

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Martin Kociolek
DOCKET NO.:	14-26572.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	03-34-424-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Martin Kociolek, the appellant, by attorney John S. Xydakis of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$3,488
IMPR.:	\$14,985
TOTAL:	\$18,473

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 939 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 59 years old. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement and a one and one-half car garage. The property has an 8,721 square foot site and is located in Mount Prospect, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables. The comparable dwellings are from 55 to 59 years old and contain from 886 to 984 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$12,305 to \$12,638 or from \$12.84 to \$13.89 per square foot of living area. The appellant also submitted a map showing the

location of the subject property and the comparable properties. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$12,226 or \$13.02 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$18,473 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$14,985 or \$15.96 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties that were described as being located one-quarter mile from the subject property. The dwellings are either 59 or 60 years old and contain either 830 or 939 square feet of living area. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,318 to \$30,904 or from \$16.05 to \$32.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven suggested comparables. The Board finds that none of the comparables submitted were sufficiently similar to the subject property. The Board finds the appellant's map revealed the appellant's comparables were not located near the subject property. Additionally, the Board finds the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #2 through #4 differed from the subject in foundation. Finally, the Board finds board of review #1 to be an outlier with an improvement assessment of \$32.91 per square foot of living area that was substantially higher than any other comparable submitted for this appeal. The Board takes note that the seven comparables submitted for this appeal had improvement assessments that ranged from \$12.84 to \$32.91 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$15.96 per square foot of living area falls within this range. Based upon this record, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Acting Member Member Member Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.