

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Gloria Vila

DOCKET NO.: 14-26391.001-R-1 through 14-26391.003-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gloria Vila, the appellant(s), by attorney Joanne Elliott, of Elliott & Associates, P.C. in Des Plaines; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
14-26391.001-R-1	18-03-214-028-0000	1,892	3,493	\$5,385
14-26391.002-R-1	18-03-214-029-0000	1,959	7,589	\$9,548
14-26391.003-R-1	18-03-214-033-0000	1,989	7,578	\$9,567

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property contains a 45 year-old, two-story building of masonry construction with 5,409 square feet of building area. The building contains three ground floor commercial units and a residential apartment on the second floor. Features of the building include a full basement finished with a recreation room and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site and is located in Lyons Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. The appellant submitted an Agreement for Lease and Purchase with a closing statement and Warranty Deed; a Multiple Listing Service information sheet; and information in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the Residential Petition disclosing the subject was sold on May 21, 2014 for the price of \$245,000,

was not sold as a transaction between related parties, was advertised and sold by a realtor, and was sold in settlement of a contract for deed. The appellant also submitted an appraisal based on the income capitalization and sales comparison approaches. The estimated market value was \$250,000 based on the income approach and \$245,000 based on the sales approach, for an estimated reconciled market value of \$245,000 as of November 5, 2013. The appraisal disclosed the subject building was occupied by tenants. The appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$24,500 when applying the level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$36,500. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$365,000 when applying the 2014 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three unadjusted suggested sale comparables.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the comparables submitted as evidence by the board of review should be given diminished weight because they were dissimilar to the subject in various key property characteristics and were based on raw, unadjusted sales data. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in May 2014 for a price of \$245,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's-length transaction. The appellant provided information in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, and the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the sales contract, the closing statement and an appraisal disclosing the subject had an estimated market value of \$245,000 as of November 5, 2013. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's-length nature of the transaction. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$245,000 as of January 1, 2014 and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the 2014 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mairo Morios
	Chairman
21. Fan	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DanDikini	
Acting Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
(CERTIFICATION
<u> </u>	LERTITICATION
hereby certify that the foregoing is a	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date	April 21, 2017

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.