

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kevin Allodi

DOCKET NO.: 14-23409.001-R-1 through 14-23409.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin Allodi, the appellant(s), by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
14-23409.001-R-1	18-04-300-048-0000	5,578	25,370	\$30,948
14-23409.002-R-1	18-04-300-037-0000	9,787	70,992	\$80,779

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property contains two improvements on two contiguous parcels. Improvement #1 is a 101 year-old, one and one-half-story dwelling of frame construction containing 1,912 square feet of living area. Features of Improvement #1 include a slab foundation, and a two and one-half-car garage. Improvement #1 is situated on a 7,695 square foot site. Improvement #2 is a 106 year-old, two-story dwelling of stucco construction containing 5,174 square feet of living area. Features of Improvement #2 include a full unfinished basement and two fireplaces. Improvement #2 is situated on a 13,500 square foot site. The property is located in Lyons Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables for

Improvement #1 and on three suggested equity comparables for Improvement #2. The appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$28,292.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$111,727, of which total \$30,948 was for Improvement #1 and \$80,779 for Improvement #2. The subject property has an Improvement #1 assessment of \$25,370, or \$13.27 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an Improvement #2 assessment of \$70,992, or \$13.72 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables for each of Improvements #1 and #2, with sale data included for one of the comparables for each Improvement.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As to Improvement #1, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$9.77 to \$24.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$13.27 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's Improvement #1 was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

As to Improvement #2, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables, and the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$11.19 to \$19.78 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$13.72 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's Improvement #2 was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fe	Sobert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dane De Kinin	
Acting Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	April 21, 2017
	An La

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.