

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Adam, Wieslaw & Malgorzata Skrzyniarz

DOCKET NO.: 14-03934.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-35-477-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Adam, Wieslaw & Malgorzata Skrzyniarz, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law, in Lake Zurich, and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,413 **IMPR.:** \$40,747 **TOTAL:** \$62,160

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a 2013 Final Administrative Decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) in order to challenge the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a part 1-story and part 2-story single family dwelling of frame and brick construction with 2,511 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car attached garage with 670 square feet of building area. The property has a 12,447 square foot site and is located in South Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The subject property is an owner occupied residence that was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior year under Docket Number 13-02371.001-R-1. In that appeal the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$62,160 based on the evidence submitted by the parties. The appellants based this appeal on a contention of law and asserted that the subject property is owner occupied such that, in accordance with Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185),

the 2013 assessment determination of the Property Tax Appeal Board should be carried forward to tax year 2014 as both 2013 and 2014 are in the same general assessment cycle in Kane County. (See 35 ILCS 200/9-215).

Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$62,160.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,983. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of approximately \$213,226 or \$84.92 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the three year average level of assessment in Kane County 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review contended that the subject property's "condition has changed since its purchase" and submitted a memorandum prepared by the Elgin Township Assessor's Office along with additional data. The assessor argued that at the time of the 2013 sale, the subject was in "need of repairs and was missing kitchen cabinets." There were also no appliances. Since the time of sale and based upon a visual drive-by inspection, the home is now being occupied. The assessor next asserts:

Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the repairs have been made and the condition of the property has changed to be in at least average condition.

As such, the assessor opined that the prior 2013 decision may have been appropriate at the time of sale due to condition, "this same value that the appellant is requesting to rollover for 2014 is no longer accurate or equitable due to the Assessor's evidence, the property being occupied and its change in condition."

The township assessor also submitted a copy of the subject's property record card which depicts the address of the subject property and that the "billing" address is the same as the subject property while also naming two of the appellants as the persons to be billed.

Furthermore, the assessor submitted a grid analysis of three comparable sales located within the same subdivision as the subject property. The comparables consist of part 1-story and part 2-story frame or frame with brick trim dwellings that were built in 2006 or 2007. The homes range in size from 2,533 to 2,550 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 517 to 713 square feet of building area. The properties sold between November 2012 and August 2013 for prices ranging from \$220,000 to \$245,000 or from \$86.27 to \$96.72 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellants noted that the board of review did not dispute the appellants' contention that the subject is owner-occupied residential real estate subject to application of Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185).

Conclusion of Law

The subject property was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior year under Docket Number 13-02371.001-R-1. In that appeal the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$62,160 based on the evidence submitted by the parties.

The appellants' appeal is based upon a contention of law with citation to a single provision of the Code. Section 10-15 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act (5-ILCS 100/10-15) provides:

Standard of proof. Unless otherwise provided by law or stated in the agency's rules, the standard of proof in any contested case hearing conducted under this Act by an agency shall be the preponderance of the evidence.

The rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board are silent with respect to the burden of proof associated with an argument founded on a contention of law. See 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63. The appellants in this appeal relied upon Section 16-185 of the Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) which provides in pertinent part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, **shall** remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review. [Emphasis added.]

The board of review did not dispute that the subject property is an owner occupied dwelling. The Board finds on this record that the prior year's decision should be carried forward to the subsequent year pursuant to section 16-185 of the Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) and the fact that 2013 and 2014 are within the same general assessment period in Kane County. The record contains no evidence indicating that the assessment year in question is in a different general assessment period. The record also contains no evidence indicating that the subject property sold subsequent to the 2013 tax year determination in an arm's length transaction. Moreover, in light of the terms of Section 16-185 of the Code, the Board has given no consideration to the comparable sales data submitted by the assessing officials or the contention that the condition of the subject property has been improved since the date of the sale transaction. There is no provision within Section 16-185 calling for a change in the assessment of owner-occupied residential real estate "unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review." There was no evidence of the sale of the subject property and there was no evidence that the decision of the Board was reversed or modified upon review.

Moreover, Section 10-20 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/10-20) provides that maintenance and repairs to a structure shall not increase the assessed valuation unless the change increases the square footage, materially alters the character and condition of the structure, goes beyond merely prolonging the life of the existing structure or used materials that were greater in value than the replacement value of the materials being replaced. There is no indication in the arguments presented by the board of review that the change in condition, in light of the statutory provision, was anything more than merely restoring the structure from a state of disrepair. Furthermore, to the extent that the changes did materially alter the property, the assessing officials provided no evidence in the form of building permits or costs of repair to substantiate what impact the repairs had on the value of the subject property.

For these reasons and due to the provisions of Section 16-185 of the Code, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted commensurate with the appellants' request.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	and Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 24, 2017
	aportol
_	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.