

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Geri Kubisea-Rea Properties

DOCKET NO.: 14-03038.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-03-206-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Geri Kubisea-Rea Properties, the appellant, by Michael Griffin, Attorney at Law, in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$42,460 **IMPR.:** \$58,350 **TOTAL:** \$100,810

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story single-family dwelling of frame and brick blended exterior construction with 1,444 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1971. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 529 square foot garage. The property has a 13,500 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the subject's improvement assessment. No dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparables consisting of one-story frame, brick or frame and brick dwellings that were 39 to 44 years old. The homes range in size from 1,628 to 1,975 square feet of living area. Each comparable features a full or partial basement and a garage ranging in size from 402 to 575 square feet of building area. No

information was "available" concerning basement finish, air conditioning and/or fireplace amenities of the subject or the comparables as reported by the appellant. The properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,310 to \$66,130 or from \$22.92 to \$37.36 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$45,602 or \$31.58 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$100,810. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$58,350 or \$40.41 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum and data prepared by the Downers Grove Township Assessor's Office. The assessor contended that differences between the subject and the comparables include exterior construction, bathrooms, fireplaces, air conditioning and finished basements. As to appellant's comparable #1, the assessor reported this property also has a brick pool enclosure and a 540 square foot in-ground pool. The assessor also reported that appellant's comparables #2 and #3 lack basement finish and comparable #2 lacks air conditioning and lacks a fireplace.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of one-story frame and brick dwellings that were built between 1972 and 1976. The homes range in size from 1,350 to 1,794 square feet of above-grade living area with full or partial basements with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 575 to 864 square feet of building area. The properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$51,610 to \$74,970 or from \$38.23 to \$41.79 per square foot of living area.

Based on the foregoing evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #1 due to its additional amenities that are not features of the subject property. The Board has also given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #2 due to its substantially larger dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #3 and #4 along with the board of review comparables. Each comparable is a one-story dwelling that ranges in size from 1350 to 1,794 square feet of living area with basements, central air conditioning and a fireplace along with a garage ranging in size from 441 to 864 square feet of building area. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$54,830 to \$74,970 or from \$33.01 to \$41.79 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$58,350 or \$40.41 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	and Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

# <u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 23, 2016
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.