



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Owen Burritt
DOCKET NO.: 14-03023.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-11-104-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Owen Burritt, the appellant, by Michael Griffin, Attorney at Law, in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,850
IMPR.: \$67,140
TOTAL: \$86,990

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of aluminum exterior construction with 2,297 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached two-car garage of 420 square feet of building area. The property has a 6,837 square foot site and is located in Bartlett, Wayne Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the subject's improvement assessment. No dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparables located on the same street and in close proximity to the subject dwelling. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of aluminum exterior construction that were 21 to 24 years old. The comparables range in size from 2,076 to 2,174 square feet of living area. Each home has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning and a two-car garage of 441 square feet of building area.

Three of the comparables also each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$57,400 to \$59,040 or from \$26.40 to \$27.82 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$62,685 or \$27.29 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$86,990. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$67,140 or \$29.23 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum and data prepared by the Wayne Township Assessor's Office. In the memorandum, the assessor reported that the subject dwelling has a larger finished basement area and more bath fixtures than the appellant's comparables. In addition, one of the appellant's comparables lacks a fireplace amenity and "comparable #3 is currently not being assessed for its finished basement."

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located on the same street and in close proximity to the subject dwelling. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of aluminum exterior construction that were built between 1990 and 1992. The comparables range in size from 2,110 to 2,297 square feet of living area. Each home has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage of 420 or 441 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$66,710 to \$68,260 or from \$29.20 to \$32.00 per square foot of living area. The assessor also provided data on five comparable sales which the Property Tax Appeal Board finds is not responsive to the appellant's equity argument.

Based on the foregoing evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables located in close proximity to the subject and similar in design, age and exterior construction to the subject to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. Each of the comparables had finished basement area, despite the assessor's contention that appellant's comparable #3 was not being assessed for its basement finished, the Board finds that the nine similar comparables in the record had improvement assessments that ranged from \$57,400 to \$68,260 or from \$26.40 to \$32.00 per

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$67,140 or \$29.23 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 23, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.