

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Gerald Summers
DOCKET NO.:	14-03017.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	03-10-412-017

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gerald Summers, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie A. Engstrom, of Fisk Kart Katz and Regan, Ltd. in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$20,930
IMPR.:	\$33,890
TOTAL:	\$54,820

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 1,194 square feet of above-grade living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1964. Features of the home include a lower level that is 75% finished and a 572 square foot detached garage. The property has a 7,800 square foot site and is located in Wood Dale, Addison Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor as the subject property. The comparables consist of a 1.5-story and two, one-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction that were 50 to 65 years old. The comparables range in size from 1,015 to 1,499 square feet of living area. Comparable #3 features a basement and comparable #2 has central air conditioning. Two

of the comparables have a fireplace and each has a garage ranging in size from 339 to 624 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,550 to \$34,050 or from \$21.23 to \$22.72 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the improvement assessment to \$26,626 or \$22.30 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$54,820. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,890 or \$28.38 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor as the subject property. The comparables consist of a split-level dwellings of frame, brick or frame and brick exterior construction that were built between 1959 and 1977. The comparables range in size from 1,035 to 1,296 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a lower level that is 75% finished and four of the comparables have central air conditioning. Each of the comparables has a garage ranging in size from 396 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$29,070 to \$36,850 or from \$28.06 to \$28.91 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence and the contention that none of the appellant's comparables are split-level dwellings like the subject, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables which differ in design from the subject split-level style dwelling. The Board also gave reduced weight to board of review comparable #1 due to its newer age when compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2 through #6 which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, size and/or features. These five comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$28.35 to \$28.91 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$28.38 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record and appears

to be well-supported when giving due consideration to the differences in age, size and/or features. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 23, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.