

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT: | Patrick Koziol & Timothy Ramseyer |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| DOCKET NO.: | 14-02597.001-R-1 |
| PARCEL NO .: | 06-13-331-003 |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Patrick Koziol & Timothy Ramseyer, the appellants; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND: | \$6,295 |
|--------|----------|
| IMPR.: | \$10,370 |
| TOTAL: | \$16,665 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a part two-story and part one-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,698 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1848. Features of the home include a partial basement. The property has a 7,920 square foot site and is located in Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellants' appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellants submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on October 21, 2013 for a price of \$50,000. The appellants reported that the subject property was purchased from George T. Krieger IV and asserted the parties were not related. They further indicated the subject property was sold through a Realtor, the property had been listed with the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) and the property had been on the market for 88 days. To document the transaction the appellants provided a copy of the settlement statement, a copy of the MLS listing sheet and a copy of the subject's Listing & Property History Report. The MLS listing sheet

indicated the property was a short sale, the property was being sold "as is" and described the home as "Needs some TLC." Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,422. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$97,393 or \$57.36 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales identified by the township assessor that were improved with one twostory dwelling and five part one-story and part two-story dwellings that ranged in size from 1,304 to 1,686 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1882 to 1900. Each comparable had a basement and four comparables had garages ranging in size from 216 to 528 square feet of building The sales occurred from January 2013 to December 2013 for prices ranging from \$89,900 to \$145,000 or from \$61 to \$91 per square foot of living area, land included, rounded.

The assessor also estimated the subject's market value using an estimated annual rent of \$14,400 and a gross rent multiplier of 8 to arrive at an estimated value of \$115,200.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in October 2013 for a price of \$50,000. The appellants provided evidence demonstrating the sale had elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellants completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it had been on the market for 88 days. In further support of the transaction the appellants submitted a copy of the settlement and a copy of the MLS listing describing the subject dwelling as "Needs some TLC", indicating the dwelling had condition issues at the time of sale. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review evidence did not refute the arm's length nature of the transaction or the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 23, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.