

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Melonee P. Hall DOCKET NO.: 14-02556.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-17-254-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Melonee P. Hall, the appellant; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,279 **IMPR.:** \$32,051 **TOTAL:** \$38,330

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,976 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1979. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a two-car attached garage with 504 square feet of building area. The property has a 10,890 square foot site and is located in Aurora, Aurora Township, Kane County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on September 12, 2013 for a price of \$115,000. The appellant completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the seller was Bank of New York Mellon, the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold through a Realtor and had been advertised in the Multiple Listing Service (MLS). The appellant also indicated the property was purchased out of foreclosure. To document the sale the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement, a copy of MLS

listing for the subject property disclosing the property had been advertised for 71 days and a copy of the subject's Listing & Property History Report. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$44,996. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$135,164 or \$68.40 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales identified by the township assessor improved with two-story dwellings that ranged in size from 1,700 to 2,402 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1962 to 1988. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, two comparables have central air conditioning, two comparables each have one fireplace and each comparable has an attached garage. These properties sold from June 2011 to January 2013 for prices ranging from \$174,000 to \$177,500 or from \$72.86 to \$102.35 per square foot of living area, including land.

The assessor also provided information on three equity comparables.

In rebuttal the appellant reiterated the argument that the subject's sale was the best evidence of market value. The appellant also argued no weight should be given the board of review equity comparables as these did not address the overvaluation argument. The appellant also noted that two of the sales provided by the board of review sold in 2011 and 2012 and should not be considered indicative of market value as of January 1, 2014.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property on September 12, 2013 for a price of \$115,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it had been on the market for 71 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement and a copy of the MLS listing. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction. The board did provide information on three comparable sales, however, comparables #1 and #2 sold in 2011 and 2012, not proximate in time to the assessment date at

issue. Furthermore, board of review sale #3 was inferior to the subject in age but was superior to the subject in features with central air conditioning and a fireplace. Do to these differences little weight was given this sale. The Board gave no weight to the equity comparables provided by the board of review as this evidence did not address the appellant's overvaluation argument. Based on this record the board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mairo Morios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 23, 2016
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.