

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Billy Joe Stimpson DOCKET NO.: 14-02105.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-25-476-046

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Billy Joe Stimpson, the appellant, and the Winnebago County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this record, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Winnebago** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,739 **IMPR.:** \$37,261 **TOTAL:** \$40,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Winnebago County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a split-level style single family dwelling of frame construction with 1,946 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1994. Features of the home include a basement with 582 square feet, one fireplace and a two-car attached garage with 576 square feet of building area. The property is located in Machesney Park, Harlem Township, Winnebago County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales improved with split-level style dwellings of frame or vinyl exterior construction that ranged in size from 1,680 to 2,042 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1986 to 1997. The comparables sold

from November 2012 to February 2014 for prices ranging from \$60,000 to \$100,000 or from \$29.48 to \$48.97 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant's submission included adjustments to the sales described as "Property Equalizations" to arrive at equalized sale prices ranging from \$51,928 to \$91,540. The appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect a market value of \$66,136.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,608. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$127,837 or \$65.69 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for Winnebago County of 33.33% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales identified by the township assessor improved with split-level style dwellings of vinyl siding or frame exterior construction that ranged in size from 1,680 to 2,171 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1984 to 1997. The comparables had similar features as the subject property with the exception each had central air conditioning. These properties sold from September 2012 to May 2014 for prices ranging from \$121,000 to \$175,000 or from \$67.98 to \$80.61 per square foot of living area, including land.

In rebuttal the assessor provided a grid analysis of the appellant's comparables disclosing that comparable #1 sold again in January 2014 for a price of \$129,000 or \$63.39 per square foot of living area, including land. The assessor also asserted that appellant's comparables #2 through #5 were "bad sales", with comparables #2 and #5 being identified as HUD sales, comparable #3 was described as a governmental sale and #4 was described as not being exposed on the open market.

In rebuttal the appellant submitted a grid analysis disclosing the board or review sales were located from .43 to 6.67 miles from the subject property. The appellant also acknowledged that some of the appellant's comparables may be foreclosures but asserted that sections 16-55(b) and 16-183 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-55(b) & 16-183) provide that the board of review and Property Tax Appeal Board are to consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer if the properties have the same characteristics and condition as when the assessed values were established.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the record contains nine sales presented by the parties that had varying degrees of similarity to the subject property. The Board gives most weight to appellant's comparable

sales #1, #2, #3 and #5 as these comparables were most similar to the subject in location and sold most proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The Board finds, however, that the appellant's comparables appear to be the result of foreclosures. Additionally, it was disclosed that appellant's comparable sale #1 sold in August 2013 for a price of \$60,000 and sold again in January 2014 for a price of \$129,000 in what the assessor described as a "good sale." In summary the best sales had prices ranging from \$60,000 to \$129,000 or from \$29.48 to \$63.39 per square foot of living area, including land. Each of these comparables was superior to the subject as each had central air conditioning. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$127,837 or \$65.69 per square foot of living area, including land, which is slightly above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on a square foot basis. Less weight was given appellant's comparable #4 as it sold in November 2012, not as proximate in time to the assessment date at issue as the remaining sales provided by the appellant. Less weight was given the comparable sales provided by the board of review as comparable sales #1 through #3 were located 4.77 to 6.67 miles from the subject property and comparable sale #4 sold in September 2012, not proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. Considering the best sales in this record and the fact the subject dwelling does not have central air conditioning, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	May 20, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.