

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jose A. Guerrero DOCKET NO.: 14-01843.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-19-109-035

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jose A. Guerrero, the appellant, by Jerri K. Bush, Attorney at Law, in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 1 **IMPR.:** \$24,997 **TOTAL:** \$24,998

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story frame dwelling that has 1,330 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features include a concrete slab

foundation, central air conditioning and a 220 square foot attached garage. The subject property is located in Avon Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. support of this argument, the appellant submitted a limited "Property Tax Analysis" of four suggested comparable sales. analysis was dated February 21, 2015. Neither the name nor the professional credentials of the person(s) who prepared the report was disclosed. The comparables are located from .10 to .22 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in design, dwelling size, age, and features. The comparables sold from March 2013 to October 2013 for prices ranging from \$41,500 to \$47,500 or from \$30.74 to \$33.64 per square foot of living including The analysis included land. Equalization Values" (adjustments) to the comparables for age, square footage, and bath & fixtures. Based on the Property Equalization Values, the analysis conveys a value estimate for the subject property of \$46,127 or a total assessment At the bottom of the analysis, data sources were listed as Assessor, County, MLS, Realist, and Marshall & Swift. No evidence or explanation pertaining to the calculation of the adjustment amounts was provided. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,998. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$75,024 or \$56.41 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2014 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.32%. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted an analysis of four comparable sales and a letter addressing the appeal.

With respect to the evidence submitted by the appellant, the board of review argued the comparables sold in "As Is" condition and were either foreclosures, short sales or sheriff sales. Additionally, the board of review claimed comparable #4 was not exposed to the market via the Multiple Listing Service (MLS). The board of review submitted the MLS sheets and property record cards for the comparables utilized by the appellant.

The comparable sales submitted by the board of review are located from .03 to .14 of a mile from the subject property.

The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in design, dwelling size, age and features. The comparables sold from May 2013 to July 2014 for prices ranging from \$80,500 to \$90,000 or from \$57.01 to \$64.66 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof.

The parties submitted eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant. The board of review asserted the comparables sold in "As Is" condition and comparable #4 was not exposed to the open market, which was not refuted by the appellant. In addition, the comparables do not appear to mimic market conditions due to their lower overall sale prices in comparison to the typical arm's-length sales that were submitted by the board of review. The Board finds the best indicators of the subject's market value were the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. These comparables were similar when compared to the subject in location, age, size, design, and most features. They sold for prices ranging from \$80,500 to \$90,000 or from \$57.01 to \$64.66 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$75,024 or \$56.41 per square foot of living area including land, which falls below the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. Board finds subject property appears to be under-assessed based on the most credible market value evidence contained in this Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is record. warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fe-	Mauro Illorios
Member	Member
C. J. R	
Member	Acting Member
Robert Stoffen	
Acting Member	
DISSENTING:	

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 19, 2016
	Alportol
•	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.