# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD 

APPELLANT: Jack Gore<br>DOCKET NO.: 14-01433.001-R-1<br>PARCEL NO.: 15-35-202-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jack Gore, the appellant, by attorney Richard J. Caldarazzo, of Mar Cal Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$116,667
IMPR.: \$56,175
TOTAL: \$172,842
Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling ${ }^{1}$ of frame exterior construction with 2,976 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1955. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 1,200 square foot garage. The property has an 117,743 square foot site and is located in Riverwoods, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within 1.45 miles from the subject property. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that are approximately 60 and 70 years old. The comparables had features

[^0]with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 2,855 to 3,193 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from $\$ 31,571$ to $\$ 50,051$ or from $\$ 11.06$ to $\$ 16.89$ per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to $\$ 159,938$.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of $\$ 172,842$. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $\$ 56,175$ or $\$ 18.88$ per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located approximately 1.8 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with onestory dwellings of brick, stone, or frame exterior construction that were built from 1955 to 1961. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 2,815 to 2,911 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from $\$ 54,878$ to $\$ 67,054$ or from $\$ 18.85$ to $\$ 23.17$ per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation.

## Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code $\S 1910.63(\mathrm{e})$. Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds these comparables are relatvely similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $\$ 31,571$ to $\$ 67,054$ or from $\$ 11.06$ to $\$ 23.17$ per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of $\$ 56,175$ or $\$ 18.88$ per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the assessment comparables contained in the record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.


DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.


Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:
"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of
the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The subject property is part one-story and part-two story based on the property record card submitted by the board of review.

