

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Tirell, LLC
DOCKET NO.:	14-01353.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	06-28-479-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tirell, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Dennis M. Nolan, of Dennis M. Nolan, P.C. in Bartlett; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$14,833
IMPR.:	\$25,448
TOTAL:	\$40,281

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,414 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1996. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property is located in South Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on February 7, 2013 for a price of \$121,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$52,063. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of

\$156,392 or \$110.60 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 threeyear average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales.<sup>1</sup> Four of the comparables sold from June 2012 to February 2014 for prices ranging from \$148,000 to \$167,000 or from \$104.00 to \$117.00 per square foot of living area, including land.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in February, 2013 for a price of \$121,000. From a review of the record, the Board finds the there is no evidence suggesting the subject sale was not an arm's-length transaction. The Illinois Supreme Court defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing and able to buy but not forced to do so. <u>Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of property between parties dealing at arm's-length is a relevant factor in determining the correctness of an assessment and may be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. <u>Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited Partnership</u>, 120 Ill.App.3d 369 (1st Dist. 1983), <u>People ex rel. Munson v. Morningside Heights</u>, Inc, 45 Ill.2d 338 (1970), <u>People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago</u>, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967); and <u>People ex rel. Rhodes v. Turk</u>, 391 Ill. 424 (1945).

The evidence demonstrates the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant partially completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, and per the Multiple Listing Sheet provided by the board of review, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and had been on the market for 49 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement and the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Less weight was given board of review comparable sales #1 and #4 because they lack a basement which the subject has and less weight was also given comparable #2 based on its dated sale in relation to a 2014 assessment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review's submission of evidence was missing the descriptive information column and the 5<sup>th</sup> comparable was apparently incorrectly copied and was therefore illegible.

valuation date. The Board finds one sale does not overcome the subject's arm's length sale in 2013. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$121,000 as of January 1, 2014. Since market value has been determined the 2014 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.29% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(1).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Acting Member Member Member Member

**DISSENTING:** 

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 18, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.