

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Steven Sodman
DOCKET NO.: 14-00886.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-19-104-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven Sodman, the appellant, by attorney Herbert Holzman, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,246 **IMPR.:** \$69,913 **TOTAL:** \$86,159

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,306 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1994. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an 808 square foot attached garage. The property has a 40,200 square foot site and is located in Antioch, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from .03 to 1.93 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story single family dwellings of frame exterior construction and range in age from 15 to 27 years old. Features include a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 483 or 1,230 square foot garage. The dwellings range in size from 1,848 to 1,913 square feet of living area and have

Docket No: 14-00886.001-R-1

sites that range in size from 10,006 to 40,200 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2013 to June 2014 for prices ranging from \$206,000 to \$219,000 or from \$109.78 to \$118.00 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$86,159. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$258,580 or \$112.13 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .74 of a mile from the subject property. One comparable was also utilized by the appellant. The comparables are improved with one-story single family dwellings of frame exterior construction and were built from 1986 to 2001. Each comparable has a full or partial basement with one comparable having finished area. Three comparables have a fireplace. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 600 to 1,230 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 1,848 to 2,288 square feet of living area and have sites that range in size from 41,137 to 57,935 per square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March 2012 to June 2014 for prices ranging from \$206,000 to \$290,000 or from \$111.47 to \$126.75 per square foot of living area, land included. The board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties' submitted six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The appellant's comparable #1 is also board of review's comparable #1. The board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable sales #3 and #4. These sales occurred in March 2012 and May 2012, which is less indicative of fair market value as of the subject's January 1, 2014 assessment date. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3. These comparables are located over 1.80 miles from the subject property and these comparables have a considerably smaller site size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #1 along with the board of review comparable sales #1 and #2. These most similar comparables sold in August 2013 and June 2014 for prices of \$206,000 or \$234,500 or \$111.47 or \$120.38 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$258,580 or \$112.13 per square foot of living area, land included, which is within the best comparable sales in this record on a per square foot basis. After considering any necessary adjustments to the most similar comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported and no reduction is warranted.

Docket No: 14-00886.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	uno Illorioso
	Chairman
21. Fe	C. R.
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 19, 2016
	aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 14-00886.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.