

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Land Trust 275 1000 0353

DOCKET NO.: 14-00196.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 30-07-08-420-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Land Trust 275 1000 0353, the appellant, by Patrick A. Meszaros, of the Law Offices of Patrick A. Meszaros in Joliet, and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,850 **IMPR.:** \$19,750 **TOTAL:** \$27,600

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2014 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,012 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1900. Features of the home include a partial basement and a 216 square foot garage. The property has an 8,712 square foot site and is located in Joliet, Joliet Township, Will County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted a grid analysis of four comparable sales along with Multiple Listing Service data sheets, printouts from the Supervisor of Assessments and printouts from the township assessor with characteristics information. The comparables were said to be from .16 to .48 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of a one-story, a two-story and two, 1.5-story frame dwellings that were 110 to 113 years old. The dwellings range in size from 912 to 1,092 square feet of living area. Three of the comparables have full or partial basements.

Two of the comparables have central air conditioning and one has window air conditioning. Three of the comparables have garages ranging in size from 180 to 575 square feet of building area. The sales occurred between December 2012 and May 2013 for prices ranging from \$26,000 to \$49,000 or from \$28.51 to \$44.87 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$12,962 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$38,886 or \$38.42 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,600. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$83,057 or \$82.07 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2014 three year average median level of assessment for Will County of 33.23% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In rebuttal the board of review submitted a statement from James A. Brenczewski, Joliet Township Assessor, asserting that, except for one property, the appellant's comparables were not in the same neighborhood and the comparables consist of only one one-story dwelling like the subject with three comparables that are 1.5-story dwelling that "sell for less per square foot." In addition, he reported that appellant's comparable #4 resold in 2014 for \$125,000.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same neighborhood. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction. The dwellings are 75 to 135 years old and range in size from 864 to 1,088 square feet of living area and feature full or partial unfinished basements. Each comparable has central air conditioning and three comparables have a garage ranging in size from 240 to 352 square feet of building area. The properties sold between April 2014 and October 2014 for prices ranging from \$77,000 to \$145,000 or from \$84.43 to \$142.36 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales with varying degrees of similarity to the subject property to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4 as these dwellings differ in design when compared to the subject. The Board has also given reduced weight to

board of review comparables #3 and #4 as these dwellings are newer and have masonry exterior construction as compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #1 along with board of review comparable sales #1 and #2. These most similar comparables sold between March 2013 and October 2014 for prices ranging from \$26,000 to \$117,500 or from \$28.51 to \$117.50 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$83,057 or \$82.07 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported by the most comparable properties and the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, A	auro Albrica
	Chairman
21. Fe	
Member	Member
Sobert Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 23, 2016
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.