



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: South Coast Holdings
DOCKET NO.: 13-36240.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 25-21-317-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are South Coast Holdings, the appellant(s), by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,743
IMPR.: \$4,257
TOTAL: \$6,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 104 year-old, two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,976 square feet of living area. The property has a 3,875 square foot site located in Lake Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased on July 6, 2012, for \$28,000. The subject's sale price reflects a market value of \$14.17 per square foot of living area including land. In support of the sale, the appellant submitted copy of the settlement statement and an affidavit by an agent of the subject's owner attesting the subject was listed for sale on the open market, arm's length transaction, and not a foreclosure sale. The appellant included information in Section IV—Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject

was not sold as a transfer between related parties, the seller's mortgage was not assumed, and was advertised and sold through a realtor listed on the Multiple Listing Service. The appellant also submitted four sale comparables which sold from April 2013 to October 2013 for prices ranging from \$20,000 to \$41,500 or from \$10.17 to \$19.95 per square foot of living area including land. Appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$6,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's combined total assessment of \$10,576 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$105,760 when applying the 2013 level of assessment for class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The board of review's submitted four sale comparables. The board of review submitted a brief stating the subject's sale was not at fair cash value and arm's length transaction because the July 2012 transaction was a compulsory sale and that a rollover reduction should not be granted. In support, the board of review submitted a deed trail and an unrelated prior Board decision.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney reviewed the evidence submitted and stated that the subject was an REO sale. The board of review rested on the evidence.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in July 2012 for \$28,000 or \$14.17 per square feet of living area is a "compulsory sale." The hearing testimony and deed trail confirmed it was a REO sale. A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

- (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Board of Educ. of Meridian Community Unit School Dist. No. 223 v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 961 N.E.2d 794, 802, 356 Ill.Dec. 405, 413 (2d Dist. 2011) citing Chrysler Corp. v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207, 211 387 N.E.2d 351 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, the Illinois General Assembly recently provided very clear guidance for the Board with regards to compulsory sales. Section 16-183 of the Illinois Property Tax Code states as follows:

The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of the comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

35 ILCS 200/16-183. Therefore, the Board is statutorily required to consider the compulsory sale of comparable properties submitted by the parties to revise and/or correct the subject's assessment.

The Board gives little weight to the comparative market analysis prepared by the appellant. The Board finds the appellant made the adjustments himself and has a vested interest in maximizing these adjustments for the benefit of the subject. In addition, the appellant failed to provide any evidence to show that he is a licensed appraiser and failed to establish a foundation for these adjustments. However, the Board will review the evidence regarding the three submitted sale comparables.

The evidence submitted disclosed the subject's sale was a compulsory sale. In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board looks to the evidence presented by the parties. The Board finds the appellant's comparables set the range of market value for the subject. The comparables are similar in size, age, and construction. These comparables sold from April 2013 to October 2013 for prices ranging from \$10.17 to \$19.95 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$53.53 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. The subject's 2012 purchase price of \$28,000 or \$14.17 per square foot iving area, including land, is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Accordingly, in determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board finds that the appellant did submit sufficient evidence to show the subject was overvalued. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has met its burden by a preponderance of the evidence and that the subject does warrant a reduction based upon the market data submitted into evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member

Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 18, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

South Coast Holdings, by attorney:
Stephanie Park
Park & Longstreet, P.C.
2775 Algonquin Road
Suite 270
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602