

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Erineo Carranza
DOCKET NO.: 13-33908.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-20-201-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Erineo Carranza, the appellant(s), by attorney Adam E. Bossov, of the Law Offices of Adam E. Bossov, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,194 **IMPR.:** \$45,056 **TOTAL:** \$56,250

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 39 year-old, two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 2,872 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property has a 223,898 square foot site and is located in Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an Escrow Trust Disbursement Statement (hereinafter, "Escrow Statement") disclosing escrow disbursements for the sale of the subject on April 19, 2011 for a price of \$562,500. The appellant also submitted a Warranty Deed, a color photograph of the subject, a copy of the Board's decision for the 2012 tax lien year, and information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of

Docket No: 13-33908.001-R-1

the Residential Appeal that the subject was not sold as a transfer between related parties, was sold by a realtor and was sold in settlement of a contract for deed. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price when applying the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,091. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$700,910, or \$244.05 per square foot of living area, when applying the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparable sales and on the April 2011 sale of the subject for the price of \$562,500. The board of review also submitted a brief arguing the subject does not qualify for a roll-over to 2013 of the Board's 2012 decision reducing the subject's assessment because 2012 and 2013 are in different general assessment periods.

In rebuttal, the appellant conceded that 2013 is in a different general assessment period than 2012. The appellant reaffirmed the argument that the subject is overvalued for the instant appeal, as reflected on the evidence of the recent sale for the price of \$562,500.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As to the argument raised by the board of review that the subject does not qualify for a roll-over, the Board finds the appellant conceded the board of review's argument and finds the subject does not qualify for a roll-over to 2013 of the 2012 Board decision to reduce the 2012 assessment because those tax lien years are in different general assessment periods. The Board also finds that there is no evidence in the record that the subject was occupied by the owner in either year.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in April 2011 for a price of \$562,500. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's-length transaction. The appellant provided information in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related and the property was sold using a Realtor. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the Escrow Statement and the Warranty Deed. The board of review's evidence that the subject sold in April 2011 for the price of \$562,500 confirms the appellant's evidence of a sale. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's-length nature of the transaction. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$562,500 as of January 1, 2013 and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply.

Docket No: 13-33908.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Maus Illorios
-	Chairman
21. Fem	R
Member	Member
	Dan Dikini
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	
CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	March 24, 2017
	alportol

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 13-33908.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.