

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mitesh Patel
DOCKET NO.: 13-33609.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 07-14-409-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mitesh Patel, the appellant, by attorney Glenn S. Guttman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$10,050 **IMPR.:** \$38,450 **TOTAL:** \$48,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,560 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property has a 13,400 square foot site and is located in Schaumburg, Schaumburg Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contend assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on four comparables within the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that are 23 or 25 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The

dwellings have 3,354 or 3,603 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,716 to \$31,274 or from \$8.56 to \$9.20 per square foot of living area.

In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant argued that the subject's recent sale best reflected the subject's market value. The subject property sold April 19, 2012, indicating a sale price of \$485,000. The appellant submitted the Settlement Statement and the Warranty Deed. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$56,935. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$46,885 or \$13.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same block or within .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction that are 16 or 19 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 3,179 to 3,754 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$49,744 to \$51,918 or from \$13.35 to \$15.68 per square foot of living area.

The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$569,350 or \$159.93 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2 residential property pursuant to the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant asserted the board of review's evidence is relying solely on assessment inequity.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

The taxpayer also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best and only evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in April, 2012 for a price of \$485,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating

the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted copies of the Settlement Statement and the Deed. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or any market value evidence to support its assessment of the subject property. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mairo Morios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 23, 2016
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.