



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Z. Helen Trigleth-Anderson
DOCKET NO.: 13-33548.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 20-10-215-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Z. Helen Trigleth-Anderson, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,133
IMPR.: \$ 13,475
TOTAL: \$ 18,608

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a three-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling was constructed in 1911. Features of the home include a partial basement and three bathrooms. The property has a 2,037 square foot site and is located in Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-10 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted

photographs, a Sidwell map, property record cards, and information on four equity comparables. The appellant also stated that the subject's square footage is overstated.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$18,720. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$16,276. The board of review's grid sheet indicates the subject has 3,843 square feet of living area; however, no supporting documentation regarding the square footage was submitted. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables.

In written rebuttal, the appellant submitted a copy of the subject's Cook County assessor's building record dated August 11, 2014. This document includes a schematic drawing of the subject and indicates it has 2,695 square feet of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's square footage of living area is the Cook County assessor's office building record that was submitted by the appellant. Based on this document, the Board finds the subject contains 2,695 square feet of living area and is assessed at \$6.04 per square foot dwelling area. The Board notes that the parties submitted the same comparable. Appellant's comparable #1 is the same property as board of review comparable #1. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be comparable #1 which was submitted by both parties, appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #3. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$4.93 to \$5.33 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$6.04 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member



Member



Acting Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 20, 2015



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.