

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	SRP Sub, LLC
DOCKET NO.:	13-32082.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	29-23-206-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are SRP Sub, LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$2,716
IMPR.:	\$5,284
TOTAL:	\$8,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 43 year-old, multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 1,479 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 7,245 square foot site and is located in Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted the settlement statement disclosing the subject was purchased by the appellant from GDMI Holdings, LLC (hereinafter, "GDMI") on September 9, 2013 for the price of \$80,000 in an all-cash transaction. The appellant also submitted the Real Property Transfer Tax Declaration (hereinafter, "Declaration") disclosing the subject property was purchased by appellant SRP Sub LLC and sold from GMDI on September 9, 2013 for a price of \$80,000. Title was conveyed by

Warranty Deed. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service (hereinafter, "MLS") listing information sheet disclosing the sales history of the subject, as follows: GDMI took title from Bank of New York Mellon (hereinafter, "Bank") on August 5, 2013 for the price of \$75,500 by a Special Warrant Deed; and GDMI conveyed title to the subject to SRP Sub LLC, the appellant herein, on September 9, 2013 by a Warranty Deed for the price of \$80,000. In addition to the MLS information sheet, the appellant also submitted the Real Estate Contract and information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was not sold as a transfer between related parties, was advertised and sold through a realtor, and was sold in settlement of a contract for deed for \$75,500. The appellant also submitted three suggested sales comparables. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price when applying the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$12,936. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$129,360, or \$87.46 per square foot of living area, when applying the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparable sales and on the September 2013 sale of the subject for \$80,000. The board of review also submitted a brief arguing the sale from GDMI to the appellant SRP Sub LLC was not for fair cash value and was, therefore, compulsory. Appended to the brief were copies of documents recorded to establish a deed trail. These documents disclosed: a lis pendens was recorded by the Bank; the Bank received title by a Judicial Sales Deed; the Bank conveyed title to GDMI by a Special Warranty Deed; and the Bank conveyed title to the appellant by a Warranty Deed. The board of review argued that because the Bank conveyed title to GDMI in or about August 2013, the conveyance from GDMI to the appellant SRP Sub LLC in September 2013 by a Warranty Deed was compulsory. The board of review also submitted a brief arguing the appellant submitted improper evidence of market value comparables.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the comparables submitted as evidence by the board of review should be given diminished weight because they were dissimilar to the subject in various key property characteristics. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in September 2013 for a price of \$80,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's-length transaction. The appellant provided information in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the

property was sold using a Realtor, and the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service. The Board finds the appellant erred in listing the sale price of \$75,500. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the sales contract, the MLS information sheet, and the Declaration. The board of review's argument that the transfer from GDMI to the appellant SRP Sub LLC was compulsory is misplaced.

A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23.

At most, the deed trail and the sales history disclose the sale of the subject from GDMI to the appellant for 80,000 was a month after GDMI came into title from the Bank for 75,500. There is no evidence in the record that the sale from GDMI to the appellant in September 2013 was compulsory, as defined by Section 1-23 of the Property Tax Code (*Id.*).

As to the board of review's argument that the appellant submitted improper evidence of market value comparables, the Board finds the recent sale of the subject is the best evidence of the market value. "A contemporaneous sale between parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash market value but would be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment was at full value." <u>Residential Real Estate v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 188 Ill.App.3d 232, 242 (5th Dist. 1989), *citing* <u>People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Railway Company of Chicago</u>, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). Therefore, the Board need not address the merit of the appellant's three suggested sales comparables and the board of review's objection to that evidence.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$80,000 as of January 1, 2013 and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 24, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.