

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tirell, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 13-32068.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 32-05-223-038-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tirell, LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,315 **IMPR.:** \$7,185 **TOTAL:** \$10,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is a 45 year-old, one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 1,710 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has an 8,840 square foot site and is located in Bloom Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased on July 15, 2013 for a price of \$105,000 in an all-cash transaction. The appellant also submitted the real estate contract disclosing the subject was sold in an "as is" condition for cash; the Real Property Transfer Tax Declaration disclosing the subject was conveyed by a Trustee's Deed; information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was not sold as a

Docket No: 13-32068.001-R-1

transfer between related parties, was not advertised for sale but was sold through a realtor, and was sold in settlement of a contract for deed; and eleven sales suggested comparables. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price when applying the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$14,293. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$142,930, or \$83.58 per square foot of living area, when applying the 2013 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparable sales and on the July 2013 sale of the subject for the price of \$105,000. The board of review's evidence disclosed the subject's sale price was at \$61.40 per square foot of living area including land. The board of review also submitted a brief arguing the subject was not sold at fair cash value because it was advertised on the open market for only two days, after which it was removed from the open market and sold a few days later. The board of review appended a Multiple Listing Service (hereinafter, "MLS") listing information sheet disclosing the subject was on the MLS for two days.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review failed to rebut the overvaluation argument. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in July 2013 for a price of \$105,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's-length transaction. The appellant provided information in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market, albeit for two days. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the sales contract, the settlement statement, and the Transfer Tax Declaration. The Board finds the board of review's MLS information sheet disclosing the subject was on the open market for only two days is alone insufficient to rebut a finding that the subject's sale was at fair cash value. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$105,000 as of January 1, 2013 and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. As a result, the Board finds no need to rule on the appellant's sales comparison argument of overvaluation.

Docket No: 13-32068.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	uro Morioso
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 27, 2017
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 13-32068.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.