

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Maslanka Properties DOCKET NO.: 13-30068.001-C-1 through 13-30068.002-C-1 PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Maslanka Properties, the appellant, by attorney John P. Fitzgerald of Fitzgerald Law Group, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
13-30068.001-C-1	13-16-128-026-0000	14,765	16,758	\$31,523
13-30068.002-C-1	13-16-128-027-0000	14,765	13,712	\$28,477

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story autorepair/service garage building with 6,965 square feet of building area. The building has exterior walls of brick and

PTAB/smw/10-15

Docket No: 13-30068.001-C-1 through 13-30068.002-C-1

concrete block with stucco finish. The building was constructed in 1960. Features of the building include a ceiling height of 12 feet, two bathrooms, two overhead doors, air conditioning in the office area and drop down gas heaters in the garage. The property has a 7,500 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The property is classified as a class 5-22 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$240,000 as of January 1, 2012. The appraisal was prepared by John T. Setina, III, a State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, and Thomas W. Grogan, a State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, of Sterling Valuation. In estimating the market value of the subject property the appraisers developed the sales comparison approach to value using six comparable sales that sold from October 2009 to September 2012 for prices ranging from \$90,000 to \$625,000 or from \$24.79 to \$44.00 per square foot of building area, including land. Using these sales the appraisers estimated the subject property had a market value of \$35.00 per square foot of building area or \$240,000, rounded.

The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the Cook County Board of Review disclosing a total assessment for the subject property of \$80,098. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$320,392 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 5-22 property of 25%.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$60,000.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" or any evidence in support of its contention of the correct assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant estimating the subject had a market value of \$240,000 as of January 1, 2012. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the only evidence of market value in the record. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. (86 Ill.Admin.Section §1910.40(a) & §1910.69(a)). Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Member

Chairman

Mano Moiros

Member my Whit

Acting Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 20, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.