



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 1472 Milwaukee Ltd.
DOCKET NO.: 13-29965.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-06-208-047-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 1472 Milwaukee Ltd., the appellant¹, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$10,885
IMPR.: \$53,182
TOTAL: \$64,067

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a three-story mixed-use building of masonry construction with 6,320 square feet of building area. The building is 121 years old and has a partial basement that is unfinished. The property has a 3,110 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 mixed-use property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal.² In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited information on four equity comparables.

¹ The appeal form submitted by the appellant's attorney lists the appellant's name as Claudia Langman. The brief submitted by the appellant's attorney references the appellant as 1472 Partners LLC. The board of review reports the appellant as being 1472 Milwaukee Ltd.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$64,067. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$53,182 or \$8.41 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, one of which sold in November 2011 for \$975,000.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #4, as well as the board of review's comparables #3 and #4. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, size, features and lot size. They had improvement assessments that ranged from \$6.25 to \$9.14 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$8.41 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the parties' remaining comparables due to their differences from the subject in lot size, style, age and foundation type. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

² The appellant's appeal form was marked as if overvaluation based on comparable sales was the contention. However, no sales data was submitted by the appellant, therefore the Board will analyze the assessment data contained in the record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 24, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.